arXiv:1702.01542v1 [math.OA] 6 Feb 2017

QUANTIZATION OF THE AFFINE GROUP OF A LOCAL FIELD

VICTOR GAYRAL AND DAVID JONDREVILLE

Abstract. For a non Archimedean local field which is not of characteristic 2, nor an extension of $\mathbb{Q}_2$, we construct a pseudo-differential calculus covariant under a unimodular subgroup of the affine group of the field. Our phase space is a quotient group of the covariance group. Our main result is a generalisation on that context of the Calderón-Vaillancourt estimate. Our construction can be thought as the non Archimedean version of Unterberger's Fuchs calculus and our methods are mainly based on Wigner functions and on coherent states transform.

Keywords: Equivariant quantization, Local fields, p-adic pseudo-differential analysis, p-adic Fuchs calculus, Coherent states, Wigner functions, Calderón-Vaillancourt estimate

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 3
2.1. The phase space and its covariance group 3
2.2. The representation theory of G_n and X_n 8
3. The p-adic Fuchs calculus 10
3.1. The quantization map and the Wigner functions 10
3.2. The composition law of symbols 15
4. A Calderón-Vaillancourt type Theorem 19
4.1. The symbol space 19
4.2. The main estimate 25
References 28

1. Introduction

The present work fits in a research program [7, 8, 16, 17] where we aim to generalise Rieffel's theory of deformation of C^*-algebras for actions of $\mathbb{R}^d$ [28], to more general groups. In [7] we have treated the case of Kählerian Lie groups of negative sectional curvature, [8] extends Rieffel construction in the super-symmetric case and [16] deals with local fields instead of the real line. The present paper concerns the non Abelian and non Lie situation of the affine group of a local field. However, we only present the pseudo-differential calculus part of the construction, the rest will be published elsewhere [17]. The raison for that choice is that generalisation of the Weyl calculus is an important subject in its own and which has generated an important activity over the last decades. See for instance [1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 19, 18, 20, 25, 27, 29, 35, 36, 37] (which is by no mean exhaustive). Concretely, we present here the p-adic Fuchs calculus and prove a crucial estimate concerning L^2-boundness.

Our pseudo-differential calculus is covariant under a subgroup of the affine group of a non Archimedean local field $\mathbf{k}$ (of characteristic different from 2 and which is not an extension of $\mathbb{Q}_2$). Explicitly, the covariance group is the semidirect product $G_n := U_n \ltimes \mathbf{k}$ where U_n is the subgroup of the multiplicative group of units (the unit sphere of $\mathbf{k}$) given by the principal units of order n and the action on the additive group $\mathbf{k}$ is by dilations. Our phase space X_n is, as usual, an homogeneous for G_n. In fact, X_n is a quotient group of G_n and has the form $U_n \ltimes \Gamma_n$ where Γ_n is discrete and Abelian. That the phase space is also endowed with a compatible group structure will be essential to generalise Rieffel's machinery in [17]. Last, our configuration space is the compact group U_n and this choice is dictated by the representation theory of the locally compact group G_n.

To define our pseudo-differential calculus, we construct a quantization map (from functions on the phase-space X_n to operators on functions on the configuration space U_n) by mimicking, in this p-adic setting, Unterberger's Fuchs calculus [35]. In the latter construction, covariance group and phase space both coincide with the connected component of the affine group of the real line. But since a non Archimedean local field is totally disconnected, there is no obvious p-adic version of the Fuchs calculus, at least from a topological perspective. In fact, the way the construction should be adapted to the p-adic world is dictated by analytical considerations. Indeed, what we really need is a subgroup of the multiplicative group $\mathbf{k}^\times$ where there is a well behaved square root mapping. This is why we need to work with U_n and this is also why we need to exclude characteristic 2 and extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_2$. The existence of such a square root function on the configuration space is in fact the main common point with the classical Fuchs calculus and it explains why we call our pseudo-differential calculus the *p-adic Fuchs calculus*.

Before going on, we should first explain from an abstract perspective the type of pseudo-differential calculus we are interested in. So, let G be a (second countable Hausdorff) locally compact topological group, H be a closed subgroup and let $X = G/H$ be the associated homogeneous space. Fix now $(\pi, \mathcal{H}_\pi)$ a projective unitary irreducible continuous representation of G which we assume to be square integrable (see [2] for the projective case). (Projectivity is important to get a non trivial construction even when G is Abelian, e.g. [16].) Fix also Σ a self-adjoint operator on $\mathcal{H}_\pi$, whose domain D is G-invariant, such that the map $G \to \mathcal{H}_\pi$, $g \mapsto \Sigma\pi(g)\varphi$ ($\varphi \in D$) is locally bounded and such that $[\Sigma, \pi(H)] = 0$. In this case, the operator valued function $g \mapsto \pi(g)\Sigma\pi(g)^*$ is invariant under right translations on H and therefore defines a map on the quotient X. We denote this map by Ω. Hence, we have a well defined quantization map

$$\mathbf{\Omega} : C_c(X) \to \mathcal{L}(D, \mathcal{H}_\pi), \qquad f \mapsto \int_X f(x)\, \Omega(x)\, dx, \tag{1}$$

from compactly supported continuous functions on X to $\mathcal{L}(D, \mathcal{H}_\pi)$, the set of densely defined operators with domain D. In the above formula, dx is a G-invariant measure on X (that we assume to exist) and the integral is understood in the weak sense on $\mathcal{H}_\pi \times D$. By construction, this quantization map is G-covariant:

$$\pi(g)\mathbf{\Omega}(f)\pi(g)^* = \mathbf{\Omega}(f^g) \quad \text{where} \quad f^g(x) = f(g^{-1}.x), \quad g \in G,\ x \in X. \tag{2}$$

From the pure perspective of quantization, the story stops (or rather starts) here. But to generalise Rieffel's construction, we need more. First, we need to assume the invertibility of the quantization map. The raison for that is to have a well defined composition law of symbols, that is a non formal $\star$-product. (This non formal $\star$-product will eventually be extended from functions on X to elements of a C^*-algebra to get an analogue of Rieffel's construction.) At least for type I groups, the most

natural assumption is that $\mathbf{\Omega}$ extends to a unitary operator from $L^2(X)$ to the Hilbert space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators on $\mathcal{H}_\pi$. When this property holds, we speak about *unitary quantization*. This is this condition which imposes (in the examples where G is not unimodular) to work with unbounded Σ. In fact, we can easily replace the Hilbert space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators by the GNS space of a normal semifinite faithful weight on a von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ containing $\mathbf{\Omega}(C_c(X))$ without changing anything. However, in all the examples we know, it is the Hilbert-Schmidt operators that appear. Last but not least, we need X to be endowed with a group structure and that the covariance property holds for the group X too. If this condition is not satisfied, then there is no way to extend Rieffel's construction. There is at least two situations where this property holds. The first one is when G possesses a closed subgroup G_o acting simply transitively on X and this is what we meet in [7]. Under the identification $G_o \sim X$, the topological space X becomes a locally compact group and the G-invariant measure dx becomes a left Haar measure on the group X. Hence, the map (1) defines a X-covariant unitary quantization on the group X and the covariance property (2) now reads $\pi(x)\mathbf{\Omega}(f)\pi(x)^* = \mathbf{\Omega}(\lambda_x f)$, $x \in X \sim G_o \subset G$, where λ denotes the left regular representation. In that situation, we should also assume that the restriction of π to G_o is still irreducible and square integrable. The second situation, which is the one we meet in this paper, is when the closed subgroup H is normal in G. Hence, $X = G/H$ is a quotient group. However, it is not true in general that the quotient group X acts on $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ so that the covariance property is a slightly more delicate question. In fact, since $[\Sigma, \pi(H)] = 0$, it is easy so see that the quotient group X acts by conjugation on the von Neumann algebra generated by $\mathbf{\Omega}(f)$, $f \in C_c(X)$. Therefore, the covariance property (2) reads in that case $\pi(g)\mathbf{\Omega}(f)\pi(g)^* = \mathbf{\Omega}(\lambda_x f)$ where $g \in G$ and $x = gH \in X$. Hence, the map (1) defines a X-covariant unitary quantization on the group X in that case too.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we set the ingredients that will be needed in our construction. In Section 3, we define the p-adic Fuchs calculus and prove its basic properties. The final Section 4 contains our main result, namely an extension of the Calderón-Vaillancourt Theorem for the p-adic Fuchs calculus.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **The phase space and its covariance group.** Let $\mathbf{k}$ be a non Archimedean local field. In characteristic zero, $\mathbf{k}$ is isomorphic to a finite degree extension of the field of p-adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_p$. In positive characteristic, $\mathbf{k}$ is isomorphic to a field of Laurent series $\mathbb{F}_\ell((X))$ with coefficients in a finite field $\mathbb{F}_\ell$. We let $|.|_\mathbf{k}$ be the ultrametric absolute value (the restriction to dilations of the module function), $\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$ be the ring of integers of $\mathbf{k}$ (the closed–and open–unit ball), ϖ be a generator of its unique maximal ideal (called a uniformizer) and $\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}^\times$ be the multiplicative group of units (the unit sphere). We also denote by $U_1 := 1 + \varpi\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$ the group of principal units and, more generally, for an integer $n > 1$ we denote by $U_n := 1 + \varpi^n\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$ the higher units group. We let q be the cardinality of the residue field $\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}/\varpi\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$, the uniformizer ϖ then satisfies $|\varpi|_\mathbf{k} = q^{-1}$. We will also let p be the characteristic of the residue field. It satisfies $q = p^f$ for an integer $f \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

For technical reasons that we will explain soon, we will be forced to exclude two cases: when the characteristic of $\mathbf{k}$ is 2 and when $\mathbf{k}$ is an extension of $\mathbb{Q}_2$. The next Proposition–certainly well known by the experts–will help to understand why we do need such a restriction.

Proposition 2.1. *The following assertions are equivalent:*

(1) $\mathbf{k}$ *is of characteristic different from two and it is not an extension* $\mathbb{Q}_2$*;*

(2) *q is not divisible by 2;*
(3) *2 belongs to the group of units $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\times}$;*
(4) *-1 does not belong to the group of principal units U_1.*

Proof. (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) This is obvious since $q = p^f$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) This is also obvious. Indeed, in characteristic zero, if $p \neq 2$, then 2 is a unit of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, hence a unit of any finite degree extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Similarly, in characteristic different from two, 2 is a unit of $\mathbb{F}_\ell((X))$.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) By contraposition, suppose that $-1 \in U_1$. Then, there exists $z \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$ such that $-1 = 1 + \varpi z$, so that $2 = -\varpi z \in \varpi\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$. Hence $|2|_{\mathbf{k}} = q^{-1}|z|_{\mathbf{k}} < 1$.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1) By contraposition, suppose that $\mathbf{k}$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_2$ or that the characteristic of $\mathbf{k}$ is 2. In characteristic zero, we have $-1 = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} 2^n \in 1 + \varpi\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$. In characteristic 2, we have $-1 = 1 \in 1 + \varpi\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$. □

From now on, we assume the local field $\mathbf{k}$ to satisfy one the equivalent conditions of Proposition 2.1.

Note that U_n is an open and compact multiplicative subgroup of $\mathbf{k}^{\times}$ and that U_n acts by dilations on $\mathbf{k}$. We can therefore consider the semidirect product $G_n := U_n \ltimes \mathbf{k}$, that we view as a subgroup of the affine group $\mathbf{k}^{\times} \ltimes \mathbf{k}$, with group law

$$(x,t).(x',t') := (xx', x'^{-1}t + t'), \quad x, x' \in \mathbf{k}^{\times},\ t, t' \in \mathbf{k}. \tag{3}$$

The group G_n will be the *covariance group* of our pseudo-differential calculus and the subgroup U_n will play the role of the *configuration space*. The role of the *phase space* will be played by the homogeneous space $X_n := G_n/H_n$, associated to the closed subgroup $H_n := \{1\} \times \varpi^{-n}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$. Note that since H_n is a normal subgroup of G_n, X_n is naturally endowed with a group structure. In fact, X_n is isomorphic to $U_n \ltimes \Gamma_n$, where $\Gamma_n := \mathbf{k}/\varpi^{-n}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is discrete and Abelian. As a locally compact group, the phase space X_n is not compact, nor discrete and non Abelian. We will denote the elements of X_n by pairs $(u,[t])$, where $u \in U_n$ and $[t] := t + \varpi^{-n}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}} \in \Gamma_n$, so that the group law of X_n is given by

$$(u,[t]).(u',[t']) \ := \ (uu', [u'^{-1}t + t']).$$

To simplify the notations, we will frequently denote an element of G_n by $g := (u,t)$ and an element of X_n by $[g] := (u,[t])$. Also, $e := (1,0)$ will denote the neutral element of G_n and $[e] := (1,[0])$, the one of X_n. Note also that the action of U_n on Γ_n, $(u,[t]) \mapsto [ut]$, is isometric for the quotient metric:

$$d_{\Gamma_n}\big([t_1],[t_2]\big) := d_{\mathbf{k}}\big(t_1, t_2 + \varpi^{-n}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}\big) = \inf_{x\in\varpi^{-n}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}} |t_1 - t_2 + x|_{\mathbf{k}}.$$

(d_{Γ_n} is non degenerate because $t_2 + \varpi^{-n}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is closed and it satisfies the triangle inequality because $2 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\times}$.) That we are in the very specific situation where the phase space X_n is also endowed with a group structure will be very important for future developments of the present work [17] (see the introduction). This explains why we will carry on studying some group-theoretical properties of X_n here, even if we simply need the group structure of G_n.

We normalize the Haar measure dt of $\mathbf{k}$ so that $\mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}) = 1$. Since $U_n \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\times}$, we have $|u|_{\mathbf{k}} = 1$ for all $u \in U_n$, so that the Haar measure of $\mathbf{k}^{\times}$ (given by $|t|_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}dt$) restricted to U_n is just dt. With that choice we have $\mathrm{Vol}(U_n) = q^{-n}$. The Haar measure of G_n, denoted by dg, is chosen to be the restriction to $U_n \times \mathbf{k}$ of the Haar measure of $\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{k}$. The invariant measure of X_n (which is also a Haar measure), denoted by $d[g]$, is chosen to be the product of the Haar measure of U_n by the counting measure on

Γ_n. In particular, both groups G_n and X_n are unimodular. We fix once for all a unitary character Ψ of the additive group $\mathbf{k}$ which is required to be trivial on $\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$ but not on $\varpi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$. (The conductor of Ψ is therefore $\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$ itself.) Recall that $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\mathbf{k}$, the Pontryagin dual of the compact additive group $\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$, is naturally identified with the discrete group $\mathbf{k}/\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$. Indeed, if for G a locally compact Abelian group and for H an open subgroup of G, we let $A(\widehat{G}, H)$ be the annihilator of H in the Pontryagin dual $\widehat{G}$, we then have by [21, Lemma 24.5] that $\widehat{H} \sim \widehat{G}/A(\widehat{G}, H)$. The identification $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\mathbf{k} \sim \mathbf{k}/\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$ follows since (by self-duality relative to Ψ) we have $A(\widehat{\mathbf{k}}, \mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}) \sim \mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$. In the same vein, we also deduce by [21, Theorem 23.25] that $\widehat{\Gamma}_n \sim A(\widehat{\mathbf{k}}, \varpi^{-n}\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}) \sim \varpi^n\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$.

As explained in the introduction, the reason why we are working with the higher units group U_n for the configuration space (and not the full units group $\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}^\times$ or the whole dilations group $\mathbf{k}^\times$) is because we need a well defined square root mapping to handle our p-adic Fuchs calculus. The following statement results in an essential way on the assumption $2 \in \mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}^\times$.

Proposition 2.2. *The square function $\sigma : U_n \to U_n$, $u \mapsto u^2$, and the map $\phi : U_n \to \varpi^n\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$, $u \mapsto u - u^{-1}$ are C^1-homeomorphisms (see* [33, p.77]*) with $|\sigma'|_\mathbf{k} = |\phi'|_\mathbf{k} = 1$.*

Proof. Let $u, v \in U_n$. Then we have

$$\sigma(u) - \sigma(v) = (u - v)(u + v), \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(u) - \phi(v) = (u - v)(1 + u^{-1}v^{-1}).$$

Note then that both $u + v$ and $1 + u^{-1}v^{-1}$ belong to $2 + \varpi^n\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$. Since $2 \in \mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}^\times$ (by assumption on $\mathbf{k}$) and $|\varpi|_\mathbf{k} < 1$, we get $|u + v|_\mathbf{k} = |1 + u^{-1}v^{-1}|_\mathbf{k} = 1$ and thus:

$$|\sigma(u) - \sigma(v)|_\mathbf{k} = |u - v|_\mathbf{k} = |\phi(u) - \phi(v)|_\mathbf{k}.$$

Hence σ and ϕ are isometries. But they are also surjections since U_n and $\varpi^n\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$ are compact metric spaces (for the induced metric of $\mathbf{k}$). This shows that σ and ϕ are homeomorphisms. That they are of class C^1 is obvious since for all $u \in U_n$, we have

$$\sigma'(u) = \lim_{(x,y)\to(u,u)} \frac{\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)}{x - y} = \lim_{(x,y)\to(u,u)} x + y = 2u \in 2 + \varpi^n\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k},$$
$$\phi'(u) = \lim_{(x,y)\to(u,u)} \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(y)}{x - y} = \lim_{(x,y)\to(u,u)} 1 + x^{-1}y^{-1} = 1 + u^{-2} \in 2 + \varpi^n\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k},$$

by continuity of the addition, multiplication and inversion in $\mathbf{k}^\times$. This also immediately implies that $|\sigma'(u)|_\mathbf{k} = |\phi'(u)|_\mathbf{k} = 1$ for all $u \in U_n$. □

Remark 2.3. It is easy to find in the literature a proof that the principal unit group of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, $p \neq 2$, consists only on squares (see for instance [32, Page 18] or [30, Proposition 3.4]). But our approach seems to be simpler (it is based on general arguments of compact metric spaces), more general (it applies for any group of higher units of any local fields for which 2 is a unit) and more complete since it allows to define the square root function on U_n as the reciprocal mapping to the square function.

Definition 2.4. *In the following, we define the square root function $U_n \to U_n$, $u \mapsto u^{1/2}$, as the reciprocal mapping of the square function $\sigma : U_n \to U_n$.*

Note that in characteristic zero, the group X_n is isomorphic to the semidirect product $\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k} \ltimes_{\alpha_n} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\mathbf{k}$. Here $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\mathbf{k}$ is identified with the discrete group $\mathbf{k}/\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$ (whose elements are denoted by $\overline{t} := t + \mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$)

and the extension homomorphism $\alpha_n \in \mathrm{Hom}\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathrm{Aut}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbf{k}})\big)$ is given by $\alpha_{n,x}(\bar{t}) := \overline{\exp_{\mathbf{k}}(\varpi^n x)t}$, for $(x,\bar{t}) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}} \times \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbf{k}}$. Here, with p the characteristic of the residue field $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}/\varpi\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$, we denote by

$$\exp_{\mathbf{k}} : E_p := \big\{t \in \mathbf{k} \,:\, |t|_{\mathbf{k}} < p^{1/(1-p)}\big\} \to 1 + E_p, \quad t \mapsto \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{t^k}{k!},$$

the (isometrical) exponential map of $\mathbf{k}$ (which is defined if and only if $\mathbf{k}$ is of characteristic zero). Then, it is easy to show that the map

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}} \ltimes_{\alpha_n} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbf{k}} \to X_n, \quad (x,\bar{t}) \mapsto \big(\exp_{\mathbf{k}}(\varpi^n x), [\varpi^{-n} t]\big),$$

provides the desired group isomorphism (which is well defined for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ since $p > 2$). In the same vein, we also have $G_n \sim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}} \ltimes_{\beta_n} \mathbf{k}$ where $\beta_{n,x}(t) := \exp(\varpi^n x)t$, for $(x,t) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}} \times \mathbf{k}$. Now, the isomorphisms $X_n \sim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}} \ltimes_{\alpha_n} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $G_n \sim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}} \ltimes_{\beta_n} \mathbf{k}$ allow to present our groups as semidirect products with fixed subgroups but varying extension automorphisms. It thus permit to obtain a classification result in case of characteristic zero:

Proposition 2.5. *If $\mathbf{k}$ is of characteristic zero and $n \neq m$ then, G_n is not isomorphic to G_m and X_n is not isomorphic to X_m.*

Proof. We first prove the second claim. Set $Y_n := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}} \ltimes_{\alpha_n} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbf{k}}$. By the isomorphism $X_n \sim Y_n$, it suffices to proof that $Y_n \sim Y_m$ if and only if $n = m$. Observe first that the centralizer of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbf{k}}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is trivial. Recall then that the topological automorphism group of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}^\times$ (which follows by a straightforward generalization of the arguments given for $\mathbb{Q}_p$ in [21, Example (e) page 434] and the fact that the topological automorphism group of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is isomorphic to those of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$ [21, Theorem 26.9]) hence Abelian. Now, by [23, Corollary 2], $Y_n \sim Y_m$ if and only if $\alpha_n(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}})$ is conjugate to $\alpha_m(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}})$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbf{k}})$. But since the latter is Abelian, $Y_n \sim Y_m$ if and only if $\alpha_n(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}) = \alpha_m(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}})$. Since finally

$$\alpha_n(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}) = \Big\{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbf{k}} \to \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad \bar{t} \mapsto \overline{\exp(\varpi^n x)t} \;:\; x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}\Big\},$$

we have $\alpha_n(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}) = \alpha_m(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}})$ if and only if $m = n$, hence the result.

The case of G_n is almost identical using the fact that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{k}) \simeq \mathbf{k}^\times$ (hence Abelian). □

Remark 2.6. In positive characteristic we conjecture that $X_n \simeq X_m$ and $G_n \simeq G_m$ if and only if $n = m$, even if there is no isomorphism between U_n and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$ (see [33, page 72]), hence even if there is certainly no isomorphism between X_n and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}} \ltimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbf{k}}$ and between G_n and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}} \ltimes \mathbf{k}$.

With our choice of normalization for the Haar measure of $\mathbf{k}$ and with the nontrivial previously fixed basic character Ψ, the Fourier transform

$$\big(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\, f\big)(s) := \int_{\mathbf{k}} f(t)\, \Psi(st)\, dt,$$

becomes unitary on $L^2(\mathbf{k})$. More generally, when an Abelian group H is not self-dual (for instance $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}^\times$, U_n and Γ_n), we define the Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F}_H : L^2(H) \to L^2(\widehat{H}), \quad f \mapsto \Big[\chi \mapsto \int_H f(h)\, \chi(h)\, dh\Big],$$

and normalize the Haar measure of H (or of $\widehat{H}$) in such a way that $\mathcal{F}_H$ becomes a unitary operator.

We will frequently identify a function $\tilde{f} \in L^1(\Gamma_n)$ with the function $f \in L^1(\mathbf{k})$ invariant under translations in $\varpi^{-n}\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$. With our choices of normalization, we therefore have

$$\sum_{[t]\in\Gamma_n} \tilde{f}([t]) = q^{-n} \int_\mathbf{k} f(t)\,dt. \tag{4}$$

In particular, if $f \in L^1(\mathbf{k})$ is constant on the cosets of $\varpi^{-n}\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$ then $\mathcal{F}_\mathbf{k}(f)$ is supported on $\varpi^n\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$ and using the identification $\widehat{\Gamma}_n \sim \varpi^n\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$, we get

$$\mathcal{F}_\mathbf{k}\, f = q^n\, \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n}\tilde{f}. \tag{5}$$

We will also frequently use the following substitution formula (see [33, p.287] for details):

$$\int_U f\circ\varphi(t)\,|\varphi'(t)|_\mathbf{k}\,dt = \int_V f(t)\,dt.$$

Here, U, V are compact open subsets of $\mathbf{k}$, $f : V \to \mathbb{C}$ is L^1 and $\varphi : U \to V$ is a C^1-homeomorphism (see [33, p.287]) such that $\varphi'(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in U$. For instance, it follows by Proposition 2.2, that if $f : U_n \to \mathbb{C}$ and $h : \varpi^n\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k} \to \mathbb{C}$ are L^1 then

$$\int_{U_n} f(u^2)\,du = \int_{U_n} f(u)\,du \quad\text{and}\quad \int_{U_n} h(u-u^{-1})\,du = \int_{\varpi^n\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}} h(x)\,dx. \tag{6}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{k})$ the space of Bruhat-test functions on $\mathbf{k}$ and by $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{k})$ the dual space of Bruhat-distributions [9], endowed with the weak dual topology. We denote by $T[\varphi]$ the evaluation of a distribution $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{k})$ on a test function $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{k})$. Since $\mathbf{k}$ is totally disconnected, $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{k})$ coincides with the space of locally constant compactly supported functions on $\mathbf{k}$. Equivalently, $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{k})$ if and only if there exists $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that f is supported on $\varpi^n\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$ and f is invariant under translations in $\varpi^m\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$. Recall also that the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_\mathbf{k}$ is an homeomorphism of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{k})$ and of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{k})$. Moreover, if $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{k})$ is supported on $\varpi^n\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$ then $\mathcal{F}_\mathbf{k}(T)$ is invariant under translations in $\varpi^{-n}\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$ and vice versa. Topologically, $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{k})$ is the inductive limit of the Banach spaces consisting of functions supported in $\varpi^n\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$, constant on the cosets of $\varpi^m\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$ and endowed with the uniform norm.

Similarly, we let $\mathcal{D}(U_n)$, $\mathcal{D}(X_n)$ be the spaces of Bruhat-test functions on the groups U_n and X_n. Hence, $\mathcal{D}(U_n)$ is the space of locally constant functions on U_n and $\mathcal{D}(X_n)$ is the space of functions on X_n which are locally constant in the variable $u \in U_n$ and have finite support in the variable $[t] \in \Gamma_n$. Here, f is locally constant in U_n, is equivalent to the existence of $m \geq n$ such that f is invariant under dilations in U_m. But this is also equivalent to f is invariant under translations in $\varpi^m\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$. Indeed, if $f(uv) = f(u)$ for all $u \in U_n$ and $v \in U_m$ then for $x \in \varpi^m\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$, we have $f(u+x) = f(u(1-u^{-1}x)) = f(u)$ since $u^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}^\times$ and thus $1-u^{-1}x \in U_m$. Reciprocally, if $f(u+x) = f(u)$ for all $u \in U_n$ and $x \in \varpi^m\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$ then for $v \in U_m$ we have $f(uv) = f(u+(v-1)u) = f(u)$ since $(v-1)u \in \varpi^m\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$.

A sequence $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges in $\mathcal{D}(U_n)$ if the f_n are constant on the cosets of U_m in U_n for a fixed integer $m \geq n$ and if the sequence converges uniformly on U_n. Similarly, a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges in $\mathcal{D}(X_n)$ if the f_n are constant on the cosets of U_m in U_n (in the variable $u \in U_n$) for a fixed integer $m \geq n$, if they are supported (in the $[t]$-variable) in fixed finite subset of Γ_n and if the sequence converges uniformly on X_n. (For details see for instance the excellent exposition given in [31].) Note finally that the spaces $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{k})$, $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{k})$ and $\mathcal{D}(U_n)$, $\mathcal{D}'(U_n)$ also coincide with the Schwartz space and the space of tempered distributions as defined in [9, section 9]. However, we reserve the notations $\mathcal{S}(U_n)$ and $\mathcal{S}'(U_n)$ (as well as $\mathcal{S}(X_n)$ and $\mathcal{S}'(X_n)$) to denote other spaces of functions/distributions.

Since the groups U_n and X_n are separable, all these spaces of test-functions and of distributions are nuclear (see [9, Corollary 5 page 53] and the remark that follows it) and satisfies the Schwartz kernel Theorem: given a continuous linear map A from $\mathcal{D}(G_1)$ to $\mathcal{D}'(G_2)$ (G_1, G_2 are U_n or X_n) there exists a unique $T \in \mathcal{D}'(G_1 \times G_2)$ such that for all $\varphi_j \in G_j$ the have $A(\varphi_1)[\varphi_2] = T[\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2]$ ([9, Corollary 2 page 56]). However $\mathcal{D}(U_n)$ and $\mathcal{D}(X_n)$ fail to be Fréchet (they are only LF-spaces).

2.2. **The representation theory of G_n and X_n.** With Ψ the fixed unitary character of $(\mathbf{k}, +)$ (trivial on $\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$ but not on $\varpi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}$) and for $\theta \in \mathbf{k}^\times$, we set $\Psi_\theta(t) := \Psi(\theta t)$. We define the following representation π_θ of the covariance group G_n on the Hilbert space $L^2(U_n)$ of square integrable functions on the configuration space:

$$\pi_\theta(u,t)\varphi(u_0) := \Psi_\theta(u_0^{-1}ut)\,\varphi\big(u^{-1}u_0\big). \tag{7}$$

Note that when $\theta \in \varpi^n\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k} \setminus \{0\}$, the unitary operator $\pi_\theta(a,t)$ only depends of the class of t in Γ_n. Hence, it defines a unitary representation of the quotient group X_n that we denote by $\widetilde{\pi}_\theta$. It is (almost) immediate to see that π_θ is equivalent to $\mathrm{Ind}_\mathbf{k}^{G_n}(\Psi_\theta)$, the representation $\Psi_\theta \in \widehat{\mathbf{k}}$ of $\mathbf{k}$ induced to G_n. Analogously, if $\theta \in \varpi^n\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k} \setminus \{0\}$ then $\widetilde{\pi}_\theta$ is equivalent to the induced representation $\mathrm{Ind}_{\Gamma_n}^{G_n}(\Psi_\theta)$ where Ψ_θ is viewed as an element of $\widehat{\Gamma}_n$ in a natural way.

From Mackey theory [24], we know that all irreducible representations of $G_n = U_n \ltimes \mathbf{k}$ (and of $X_n = U_n \ltimes \Gamma_n$ too) are of the form $\mathrm{Ind}_{G_\Theta}^{G_n}(\rho_\Theta \otimes \Psi_\Theta)$. Here Θ is an orbit of the natural action of U_n on $\widehat{\mathbf{k}}$ and Ψ_Θ is any point in the orbit Θ. Also, G_Θ is the subgroup of G_n given by $H_\Theta \ltimes \mathbf{k}$, where H_Θ is the stabilizer (the little group) of Ψ_Θ. Last, Ψ_Θ is extended to a character (a one-dimensional representation) of G_Θ in an obvious way and ρ_Θ is an irreducible representation of H_Θ extended to G_Θ too. For the trivial orbit $\Theta = \{0\}$, we get $H_\Theta = U_n$ and $G_\Theta = G_n$. Thus, $\mathrm{Ind}_{G_\Theta}^{G_n}(\rho_\Theta \otimes \Psi_\Theta)$ is one-dimensional and we disregard such representations (which are of zero Plancherel measure). In contrast, if Θ is not trivial, we get $H_\Theta = \{1\}$ and $G_\Theta = \{1\} \ltimes \mathbf{k}$. Therefore, the induced representation we get is $\mathrm{Ind}_\mathbf{k}^{G_n}(\Psi_\Theta)$ which is π_θ with $\Psi_\Theta = \Psi_\theta$. Since moreover $\mathrm{Ind}_\mathbf{k}^{G_n}(\Psi_\Theta)$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{Ind}_\mathbf{k}^{G_n}(\Psi_{\Theta'})$ if and only if $\Theta = \Theta'$, we deduce that π_θ is equivalent to $\pi_{\theta'}$ if and only if $\theta'\theta^{-1} \in U_n$. Of course, similar considerations applies for the quotient group X_n. Hence we have obtained:

Proposition 2.7. *Any infinite dimensional unitary irreducible representation of G_n is unitarily equivalent to the representation π_θ for some $\theta \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and π_θ is equivalent to $\pi_{\theta'}$ if and only if $\theta'\theta^{-1} \in U_n$. Analogously, any infinite dimensional unitary irreducible representation of X_n is unitarily equivalent to the representation $\widetilde{\pi}_\theta$ for some $\theta \in \varpi^n\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\widetilde{\pi}_\theta$ is equivalent to $\widetilde{\pi}_{\theta'}$ if and only if $\theta'\theta^{-1} \in U_n$.*

Remark 2.8. There is infinitely many inequivalent irreducible representations of G_n and X_n. But if we restrict to $\theta \in \mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}^\times$ there is exactly $(q-1)q^{n-1}$ inequivalent irreducible representations of G_n. Indeed, they are labelled by $\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}^\times/U_n$, and $[\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}^\times : U_n] = [\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}^\times : U_1] \times [U_1 : U_2] \times \cdots \times [U_{n-1} : U_n] = (q-1)q^{n-1}$ (which follows since $\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k}^\times/U_1$ is isomorphic to the multiplicative group of the residue field and U_{n-1}/U_n is isomorphic to the additive group of the residue field). Observe also that $\pi_{-\theta}$ is the contragredient representation of π_θ.

Next we prove square integrability of the representations π_θ and $\widetilde{\pi}_\theta$:

Proposition 2.9. *For $\theta \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ (resp. $\theta \in \varpi^n\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{k} \setminus \{0\}$) the representation π_θ of G_n (reps. $\widetilde{\pi}_\theta$ of X_n) is square integrable.*

Proof. We first give the proof for the representation π_θ of G_n. Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{D}(U_n)$. Then, by unimodularity of U_n we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{G_n} |\langle \varphi_1, \pi_\theta(g)\varphi_2\rangle|^2 \, dg &= \int_{U_n\times\mathbf{k}} \Big| \int_{U_n} \overline{\varphi_1}(u_0)\, \Psi_\theta(u_0^{-1}ut)\, \varphi_2(u^{-1}u_0) du_0 \Big|^2 \, dudt \\ &= \int_{U_n\times\mathbf{k}} \Big| \int_{U_n} \overline{\varphi_1}(u_0^{-1})\, \Psi_\theta(u_0ut)\, \varphi_2(u^{-1}u_0^{-1}) du_0 \Big|^2 \, dudt \\ &= \int_{U_n\times\mathbf{k}} \Big| \int_{U_n} \overline{\varphi_1}(u_0^{-1}u)\, \Psi_\theta(u_0t)\, \varphi_2(u_0^{-1}) du_0 \Big|^2 \, dudt.\end{aligned}$$

Introducing the family of compactly supported locally constant functions on $\mathbf{k}$ given by

$$F_u := \big[u_0 \mapsto \chi_{U_n}(u_0)\, \overline{\varphi_1}(u_0^{-1}u)\, \varphi_2(u_0^{-1})\big], \quad u \in U_n,$$

we get

$$\int_{G_n} |\langle \varphi_1, \pi_\theta(g)\varphi_2\rangle|^2 \, dg = \int_{U_n\times\mathbf{k}} \big|\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(F_u)(\theta t)\big|^2 \, dudt.$$

Then, the dilation $t \mapsto \theta^{-1}t$ and the Plancherel formula for the self-dual group $\mathbf{k}$ yield

$$\int_{G_n} |\langle \varphi_1, \pi_\theta(g)\varphi_2\rangle|^2 \, dg = |\theta|_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1} \int_{U_n^2} |\overline{\varphi_1}(u_0^{-1}u)\, \varphi_2(u_0^{-1})|^2 \, dudu_0,$$

so that, by the dilation $u \mapsto u_0u$ and the inversion $u_0 \mapsto u_0^{-1}$, we finally deduce

$$\int_{G_n} |\langle \varphi_1, \pi_\theta(g)\varphi_2\rangle|^2 \, dg = |\theta|_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1} \, \|\varphi_1\|_2^2 \, \|\varphi_2\|_2^2, \tag{8}$$

which completes the proof of the first part since $\mathcal{D}(U_n)$ is dense in $L^2(U_n)$. The proof for the representation $\widetilde{\pi}_\theta$ of X_n is entirely similar using instead of an integral on $\mathbf{k}$ a sum over the countable group Γ_n and applying the relations (4) and (5) to be able to use the Plancherel formula for $\mathbf{k}$. □

A direct consequence of Proposotion 2.9 is that π_θ is weakly contained in λ, the left regular representation of G_n. Now, a straightforward computation shows that for $f \in L^2(G_n)$, the kernel of the operator $\pi_\theta(f)$ is given by $(\mathrm{Id} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}} f)(u_1u_2^{-1}, \theta u_2^{-1})$. This easily entails the following formula for the Hilbert-Schmidt norm:

$$\|\pi_\theta(f)\|_2^2 = \int_{G_n} \chi_{\theta U_n}(t) \big|\mathrm{Id} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}} f\big|^2(u,t) \, dudt.$$

From this formula, we deduce that the orthogonal projector P_θ onto the closed left-invariant subspace of $L^2(G_n)$ in which λ induces a representation unitarily equivalent to π_θ is given by:

$$P_\theta = \mathrm{Id} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}^* \, \chi_{\theta U_n} \, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad \theta \in \mathbf{k}^\times.$$

Similar considerations apply for the representation $\tilde{\pi}_\theta$, $\theta \in \varpi^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}} \setminus \{0\}$, of the quotient group X_n and the associated projector reads:

$$P_\theta = \mathrm{Id} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n}^* \, \chi_{\theta U_n} \, \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n}, \quad \theta \in \varpi^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}} \setminus \{0\}.$$

For any square integrable representation, and associated to a mother wavelette $\varphi \in L^2(U_n) \setminus \{0\}$, we have a unitary coherent-state transform (see for instance [12]):

$$L^2(U_n) \to L^2(G_n), \quad \psi \mapsto \Big[g \in G_n \mapsto \frac{|\theta|_{\mathbf{k}}^{1/2}}{||\varphi||_2} \langle \pi_\theta(g)\varphi, \psi \rangle \Big].$$

Indeed:

Corollary 2.10. *For every φ_1, $\varphi_2 \in L^2(U_n)$, $\theta \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and non-zero $\varphi \in L^2(U_n)$,*

$$\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle = \frac{|\theta|_{\mathbf{k}}}{||\varphi||_2^2} \int_{G_n} \langle \varphi_1, \pi_\theta(g)\varphi \rangle \, \langle \pi_\theta(g)\varphi, \varphi_2 \rangle \, dg.$$

The same formula holds with $\widetilde{\pi}_\theta$ instead of π_θ and $\int_{X_n}$ instead of $\int_{G_n}$, provided that $\theta \in \varpi^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}} \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. This is just the polarized version of the identity (8), viewed as a quadratic form in the variable $\varphi_1 \in L^2(U_n)$ and setting $\varphi_2 = \varphi$. □

3. The p-adic Fuchs calculus

3.1. **The quantization map and the Wigner functions.** In this subsection, our aim is to construct a G_n-equivariant pseudo-differential calculus on the phase space X_n. Our construction is a generalization of Unterberger's Fuchs calculus [35]. We will eventually be forced to restrict ourself to the case where the representation parameter is a unit $\theta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}^\times$ which, in view of Remark 2.8, means that we only consider $(q-1)q^{n-1}$ inequivalent representations of G_n. But for the beginning, we may consider $\theta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}} \setminus \{0\}$.

We let Σ be the operator on $L^2(U_n)$ implementing the group inversion:

$$\Sigma \, \varphi(u) := \varphi(u^{-1}).$$

By unimodularity, Σ is bounded and this highly simplifies the general discussion given in the introduction.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\theta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}} \setminus \{0\}$. Then the map $G_n \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(U_n))$, $g \mapsto \pi_\theta(g) \Sigma \pi_\theta^*(g)$ is constant on the cosets of H_n in G_n. Therefore, it defines a map*

$$\Omega_\theta : X_n = G_n/H_n \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(U_n)),$$

which, with $\phi : U_n \to \varpi^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$ as given in Proposition 2.2 and $[g] = (u, [t]) \in X_n$, reads:

$$\Omega_\theta([g])\varphi(u_0) := \Psi_\theta\big(\phi(uu_0^{-1})t\big)\varphi(u^2u_0^{-1}).$$

Proof. Let $(u,t) \in G_n$, $u_0 \in U_n$ and $\varphi \in L^2(U_n)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\theta(u,t) \, \Sigma \, \pi_\theta^*(u,t)\varphi(u_0) &= \Psi_\theta(u_0^{-1}ut) \, \Sigma \, \pi_\theta^*(u,t)\varphi(u^{-1}u_0) \\ &= \Psi_\theta(u_0^{-1}ut) \, \Psi_\theta(-u_0u^{-1}t) \, \varphi(u^2u_0^{-1}) = \Psi_\theta(\phi(uu_0^{-1})t) \, \varphi(u^2u_0^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

That the map $g \mapsto \pi_\theta(g)\Sigma\pi_\theta^*(g)$ is invariant under translations in $H_n = \{1\} \times \varpi^{-n}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$ follows from the fact that $\phi(uu_0^{-1}) \in \varpi^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$ and that Ψ_θ is trivial on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$ since $\theta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}} \setminus \{0\}$. □

We are now ready to introduce our G_n-equivariant quantization map on X_n:

Definition 3.2. *For $\theta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}} \setminus \{0\}$, we let $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta : L^1(X_n) \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(U_n))$ be the continuous linear map given by*

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f) := |\theta|_{\mathbf{k}}\, q^n \int_{X_n} f([g])\, \Omega_\theta([g])\, d[g].$$

For $(g, [g']) \in G_n \times X_n$, we have by construction

$$\pi_\theta(g)\Omega_\theta([g'])\pi_\theta^*(g) = \Omega_\theta([gg']) = \Omega_\theta(g.[g']).$$

This immediately implies that the quantization map $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta$ is G_n-equivariant: If $g \in G_n$ and $f \in L^1(X_n)$, setting $f^g([g']) = f(g^{-1}.[g']) = f([g^{-1}g'])$, we have by G_n-invariance of the Haar measure of X_n :

$$\pi_\theta(g)\, \mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f)\, \pi_\theta^*(g) = \mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f^g). \tag{9}$$

Note that with λ the left regular representation of the group X_n, we also have

$$\pi_\theta(g)\, \mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f)\, \pi_\theta^*(g) = \mathbf{\Omega}_\theta\big(\lambda_{[g]} f\big).$$

Provided $\theta \in \varpi^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$, the above equivariance relation also holds for the representation $\widetilde{\pi}_\theta$ of X_n. But this observation is pointless since we will soon be forced to work with $\theta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}^\times$. Moreover, since $\Omega_\theta([g])$ is self-adjoint, we get $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f)^* = \mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(\overline{f})$.

Our main tool for the analysis of our pseudo-differential calculus are the coefficient functions of Ω_θ, viewed as an operator valued function on X_n. In analogy with ordinary quantum mechanics, we call these coefficient functions the Wigner functions.

Definition 3.3. *For $\varphi_1,\ \varphi_2 \in L^2(U_n)$ we let $W^\theta_{\varphi_1,\,\varphi_2}$ be the Wigner function:*

$$W^\theta_{\varphi_1,\,\varphi_2} : X_n \to \mathbb{C}, \quad [g] \mapsto \big\langle \varphi_1, \Omega_\theta([g])\varphi_2 \big\rangle.$$

One of the main properties of the Wigner functions is their regularity property. To compare the next result with analogues in Archimedean pseudo-differential calculus (Weyl, Fuchs...) we have to remember that in the p-adic world, Bruhat's notions of smooth compactly supported function and of Schwartz function are identical.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\theta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}} \setminus \{0\}$. Then the bilinear map*

$$(\varphi_1,\, \varphi_2) \mapsto W^\theta_{\varphi_1,\,\varphi_2},$$

sends continuously $\mathcal{D}(U_n) \times \mathcal{D}(U_n)$ to $\mathcal{D}(X_n)$.

Proof. To prove that $W^\theta_{\varphi_1,\,\varphi_2} \in \mathcal{D}(X_n)$ when $\varphi_1,\ \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{D}(U_n)$, we need to show that the map $U_n \to \mathbb{C}$, $u \mapsto W^\theta_{\varphi_1,\,\varphi_2}(u, [t])$ is locally constant and that the map $\Gamma_n \to \mathbb{C}$, $[t] \mapsto W^\theta_{\varphi_1,\,\varphi_2}(u, [t])$ has finite support.

So let $(u, [t]) \in X_n$ and $\varphi_1,\ \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{D}(U_n)$ which are invariant under dilations in U_m for some $m \geq n$. We then have:

$$\big\langle \varphi_1, \Omega_\theta(u, [t])\varphi_2 \big\rangle = \int_{U_n} \overline{\varphi_1}(u_0)\, \Psi_\theta\big(\phi(uu_0^{-1})t\big)\, \varphi_2(u^2u_0^{-1})\, du_0. \tag{10}$$

Then, since $\phi(u_0^{-1}) = -\phi(u_0)$, the dilation $u_0 \mapsto uu_0$ entails

$$\big\langle \varphi_1, \Omega_\theta(u, [t])\varphi_2 \big\rangle = \int_{U_n} \overline{\varphi_1}(u_0u)\, \overline{\Psi_\theta}\big(\phi(u_0)t\big)\, \varphi_2(uu_0^{-1})\, du_0.$$

Take now $b \in U_m$ (the invariance domain of φ_1 and of φ_2). Since $\overline{\varphi_1}(u_0ub) = \overline{\varphi_1}(u_0u)$ and $\varphi_2(ubu_0^{-1}) = \varphi_2(uu_0^{-1})$ for all $u, u_0 \in U_n$, we deduce that $u \mapsto W^\theta_{\varphi_1,\,\varphi_2}(u, [t])$ is constant in the cosets of U_m in U_n.

Next, observe that the (second) substitution formula (6) entails:

$$\big\langle \varphi_1, \Omega_\theta(u,[t])\varphi_2\big\rangle = \int_{\varpi^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}} \overline{\varphi_1}\big(\phi^{-1}(z_0)u\big)\,\overline{\Psi_\theta}(z_0 t)\,\varphi_2\big(u(\phi^{-1}(z_0))^{-1}\big)\,dz_0.$$

Consider then the family of (uniformly in $u\in U_n$) compactly supported functions on $\mathbf{k}$:

$$f_u := \Big[z_0 \mapsto |\theta|_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}\,\chi_{\theta\varpi^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}}(z_0)\,\overline{\varphi_1}\big(\phi^{-1}(\theta^{-1}z_0)u\big)\,\varphi_2\big(u(\phi^{-1}(\theta^{-1}z_0))^{-1}\big)\Big],\quad u\in U_n.$$

Since $\phi^{-1}:\varpi^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}\to U_n$ is continuous (it is an isometry) and φ_1,φ_2 are locally constant, it follows that the functions $z_0\mapsto \overline{\varphi_1}\big(\phi^{-1}(\theta^{-1}z_0)u\big)$ and $z_0\mapsto \varphi_2\big(u(\phi^{-1}(\theta^{-1}z_0))^{-1}\big)$ are locally constant too, with invariance domain independent of $u\in U_n$. Hence, f_u is locally constant with invariance domain independent of $u\in U_n$. This means that $f_u\in\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{k})$ and thus $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}(f_u)\in\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{k})$. But since we have

$$\big\langle \varphi_1, \Omega_\theta(u,[t])\varphi_2\big\rangle = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}(f_u)(t), \tag{11}$$

it follows that the map $\mathbf{k}\to\mathbb{C}$, $t\mapsto\big\langle \varphi_1, \Omega_\theta(u,[t])\varphi_2\big\rangle$, has compact support (uniformly in $u\in U_n$). So, the induced map $\Gamma_n\to\mathbb{C}$, $[t]\mapsto\big\langle \varphi_1, \Omega_\theta(u,[t])\varphi_2\big\rangle$, has finite support (uniformly in $u\in U_n$).

Continuity of the map $\mathcal{D}(U_n)\times\mathcal{D}(U_n)\to\mathcal{D}(X_n)$, $(\varphi_1,\varphi_2)\mapsto W^\theta_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}$ follows from two facts. First, we have shown that the invariance domain of $u\mapsto W^\theta_{\varphi_1,\,\varphi_2}(u,[t])$ and the support of the map $[t]\mapsto W^\theta_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}(u,[t])$ only depend on the invariance domain of φ_1 and φ_2 but not on φ_1 and φ_2 themselves. Second, we have the obvious estimate:

$$\big|W^\theta_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}(u,[t])\big| = \big|\big\langle \varphi_1, \Omega_\theta([g])\varphi_2\big\rangle\big| \le \mathrm{Vol}(U_n)\,\|\Omega_\theta([g])\|\,\|\varphi_1\|_\infty\,\|\varphi_2\|_\infty = q^{-n}\,\|\varphi_1\|_\infty\,\|\varphi_2\|_\infty.$$

Take then two sequences $\{\varphi_{j,\ell}\}_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathcal{D}(X_n)$, $j=1,2$, which converge to zero in $\mathcal{D}(X_n)$. This means that all the $\varphi_{j,\ell}$ ($\ell\in\mathbb{N}$ and all $j=1,2$) have the same invariance domain and the same support, and that $\{\varphi_{j,\ell}\}_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}}$ ($j=1,2$) converges to zero in sup-norm. Hence all the terms of the double sequence $\big\{W^\theta_{\varphi_{1,\ell_1},\varphi_{2,\ell_2}}\big\}_{\ell_1,\ell_2\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathcal{D}(X_n)$ have the same invariance domain (in the variable $u\in U_n$) and the same support (in the variable $[t]\in\Gamma_n$) and this double sequence converges to zero in sup-norm. □

Take now, $f\in\mathcal{D}(X_n)$ and $\varphi_1,\varphi_2\in\mathcal{D}(U_n)$. Using Fubini's Theorem, we have

$$\big\langle \varphi_1, \mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f)\varphi_2\big\rangle = |\theta|_{\mathbf{k}}\,q^n\int_{U_n\times X_n} f([g])\,\overline{\varphi_1}(u)\,\big(\Omega_\theta([g])\varphi_2\big)(u)\,du\,d[g] = |\theta|_{\mathbf{k}}\,q^n\int_{X_n} f([g])\,W^\theta_{\varphi_1,\,\varphi_2}([g])\,d[g].$$

This, together with Lemma 3.4, allows to extend the quantization map $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta$ from L^1-functions to distributions. In the following, $\mathcal{L}\big(\mathcal{D}(U_n),\mathcal{D}'(U_n)\big)$ denotes the space of continuous linear maps from $\mathcal{D}(U_n)$ to $\mathcal{D}'(U_n)$. Recall also that we denote by $T[\varphi]$ the evaluation of a Bruhat distribution T on a Bruhat test function φ.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\theta\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}\setminus\{0\}$. The map $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta: L^1(X_n)\to\mathcal{B}(L^2(U_n))$ extends uniquely to a continuous linear map $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta:\mathcal{D}'(X_n)\to\mathcal{L}\big(\mathcal{D}(U_n),\mathcal{D}'(U_n)\big)$. This extension is given by*

$$\big(\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(F)\varphi_2\big)[\varphi_1] := |\theta|_{\mathbf{k}}\,q^n F\big[W^\theta_{\overline{\varphi}_1,\,\varphi_2}\big],\quad F\in\mathcal{D}'(X_n)\quad\textit{and}\quad\varphi_1,\varphi_2\in\mathcal{D}(U_n).$$

In particular, we can give a meaning of $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(1)$ as a continuous operator from $\mathcal{D}(U_n)$ to $\mathcal{D}'(U_n)$. By definition we have for all $\varphi_1,\varphi_2\in\mathcal{D}(X_n)$:

$$\big(\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(1)\varphi_2\big)[\varphi_1] = q^n|\theta|_{\mathbf{k}}\int_{X_n} W^\theta_{\overline{\varphi}_1,\varphi_2}([g])\,d[g]$$

$$= q^n|\theta|_{\mathbf{k}} \int_{U_n} \Big(\sum_{[t]\in\Gamma_n} W^{\theta}_{\overline{\varphi}_1,\varphi_2}(u,[t])\Big) du = |\theta|_{\mathbf{k}} \int_{U_n} \Big(\int_{\mathbf{k}} W^{\theta}_{\overline{\varphi}_1,\varphi_2}(u,[t])\, dt\Big) du,$$

where all the integrals are absolutely convergent by Lemma 3.4 and where we view $[t] \mapsto W^{\theta}_{\overline{\varphi}_1,\varphi_2}(u,[t])$ as a function on $\mathbf{k}$ invariant by translations in $\omega^{-n}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$. By (11) we know that $W^{\theta}_{\overline{\varphi}_1,\varphi_2}(u,[t]) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\mathbf{k}}(f_u)(t)$, where

$$f_u := \Big[z_0 \mapsto |\theta|^{-1}_{\mathbf{k}}\, \chi_{\theta\varpi^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}}(z_0)\, \varphi_1\big(\phi^{-1}(\theta^{-1}z_0)u\big)\, \varphi_2\big(u(\phi^{-1}(\theta^{-1}z_0))^{-1}\big)\Big], \quad u \in U_n.$$

Hence we get

$$(\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(1)\varphi_2)[\varphi_1] = |\theta|_{\mathbf{k}} \int_{U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\mathbf{k}}(f_u)(0)\, du = |\theta|_{\mathbf{k}} \int_{U_n} f_u(0)\, du = \int_{U_n} \varphi_1(u)\, \varphi_2(u)\, du.$$

Therefore, $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(1) = \mathrm{Id}$.

Using [9, Corollary 2 page 56], it makes sense to talk about the Schwartz kernel of the operator $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(F)$, for F a distribution:

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\theta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}} \setminus \{0\}$ and $F \in \mathcal{D}'(X_n)$. Then the Schwartz kernel $\big[\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(F)\big]$ of the continuous linear operator $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(F) : \mathcal{D}(U_n) \to \mathcal{D}'(U_n)$ is (with a little abuse of notation and identifying $\widehat{\Gamma}_n$ with $\varpi^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$ as usual) given by*

$$\big[\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(F)\big](u_0,u) = |\theta|_{\mathbf{k}}\, q^n \left(\mathrm{Id}\otimes \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n}F\right)\Big(u^{1/2}u_0^{1/2}, \theta\phi\big(u^{1/2}u_0^{-1/2}\big)\Big), \quad u, u_0 \in U_n. \tag{12}$$

Proof. By continuity of the map $\mathcal{D}'(X_n) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}(U_n), \mathcal{D}'(U_n))$, $F \mapsto \mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(F)$, and of the map $\mathcal{D}'(X_n) \to \mathcal{D}'(U_n \times U_n)$ which assigns to F the RHS of (12) and by density of $\mathcal{D}(X_n)$ in $\mathcal{D}'(X_n)$, it suffices to prove the formula for symbols in $\mathcal{D}(X_n)$. So, let $F \in \mathcal{D}(X_n)$ and $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{D}(U_n)$. Then, we have by (10), Fubini and Plancherel:

$$\begin{aligned}
\big(\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(F)\varphi_2\big)[\overline{\varphi}_1] &= |\theta|_{\mathbf{k}}\, q^n F\big[W^{\theta}_{\varphi_1,\,\varphi_2}\big] \\
&= |\theta|_{\mathbf{k}}\, q^n \sum_{[t]\in\Gamma_n} \int_{U_n} F(u,[t])\Big(\int_{U_n} \overline{\varphi_1}(u_0)\, \Psi_\theta\big(\phi(uu_0^{-1})t\big)\, \varphi_2(u^2u_0^{-1})\, du_0\Big) du \\
&= |\theta|_{\mathbf{k}}\, q^n \int_{U_n\times U_n} \big(\mathrm{Id}\otimes \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n}F\big)\big(u, \theta\phi(uu_0^{-1})\big)\, \overline{\varphi_1}(u_0)\, \varphi_2(u^2u_0^{-1})\, du_0\, du.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, the (first) substitution formula (6) followed by the translation $u \mapsto uu_0$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\big(\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(F)\varphi_2\big)[\overline{\varphi}_1] &= |\theta|_{\mathbf{k}}\, q^n \int_{U_n\times U_n} \big(\mathrm{Id}\otimes \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n}F\big)\big(u^{1/2}, \theta\phi(u^{1/2}u_0^{-1})\big)\, \overline{\varphi_1}(u_0)\, \varphi_2(uu_0^{-1})\, du_0\, du \\
&= |\theta|_{\mathbf{k}}\, q^n \int_{U_n\times U_n} \big(\mathrm{Id}\otimes \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n}F\big)\big(u^{1/2}u_0^{1/2}, \theta\phi(u^{1/2}u_0^{-1/2})\big)\, \overline{\varphi_1}(u_0)\, \varphi_2(u)\, du_0\, du,
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

From this we can easily determine some particular classes of pseudo-differential operators in the p-adic Fuchs calculus.

Corollary 3.7. *If $F \in \mathcal{D}'(X_n)$ is invariant under left translations in Γ_n (respectively in U_n), then $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(F)$ is a multiplication (respectively convolution) operator.*

Proof. Assume first that $F \in \mathcal{D}'(X_n)$ is invariant under left translations in Γ_n. This implies (with usual abuse of notation) that $F(u,[t]) = T(u)$ for some $T \in \mathcal{D}'(U_n)$. Hence, with δ_t the Dirac measure on $\mathbf{k}$ supported at $t \in \mathbf{k}$, the Schwartz kernel of the operator $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(F)$ is given by

$$|\theta|_{\mathbf{k}}\, T\big(u^{1/2}u_0^{1/2}\big)\, \delta_0\big(\theta\phi(u^{1/2}u_0^{-1/2})\big).$$

Since $|\phi'(u)|_{\mathbf{k}} = |\sigma'(u)|_{\mathbf{k}} = 1$ by Proposition 2.2, the Schwartz kernel of $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(F)$ is $T(u_0)\,\delta_{u_0}\big(u\big)$. Hence, $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(F) : \mathcal{D}(U_n) \to \mathcal{D}'(U_n)$ is the operator of multiplication by $T \in \mathcal{D}'(U_n)$.

Assume then that $F \in \mathcal{D}'(X_n)$ is invariant under left translations in U_n. This implies (with usual abuse of notation) that $F(u,[t]) = T([t])$ for some $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\Gamma_n)$. Hence the Schwartz kernel of $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(F)$ is given by

$$|\theta|_{\mathbf{k}}\, q^n\, \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n} T\big(\theta\phi(u^{1/2}u_0^{-1/2})\big).$$

This shows that $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(F) : \mathcal{D}(U_n) \to \mathcal{D}'(U_n)$ is the operator of convolution by the distribution of U_n given by $\big[u \mapsto |\theta|_{\mathbf{k}}\, q^n\, \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n} T\big(\theta\phi(u^{-1/2})\big)\big]$. □

We next prove that the image of the quantization map $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta$ has sufficiently large range. For convenience, we will use Dirac's ket-bra notation for rank one operators:

$$|\varphi_2\rangle\langle\varphi_1|(\varphi) := \langle\varphi_1,\varphi\rangle\,\varphi_2, \quad \varphi,\varphi_1,\varphi_2 \in L^2(U_n).$$

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\theta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}} \setminus \{0\}$. For φ_1, $\varphi_2 \in L^2(U_n)$, the Wigner function $W^\theta_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}$ belongs to $L^2(X_n)$ and moreover*

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(W^\theta_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}) = |\varphi_2\rangle\langle\varphi_1|.$$

Proof. Take first $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{D}(U_n)$. Then by the relation (11) we deduce from Plancherel that

$$\|W^\theta_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}\|_2^2 = |\theta|_{\mathbf{k}}^{-2} \int_{U_n\times\theta\varpi^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}} |\varphi_1|^2\big(\phi^{-1}(\theta^{-1}t)u\big)\, |\varphi_2|^2\big(u(\phi^{-1}(\theta^{-1}t))^{-1}\big)\, du\, dt.$$

Usual changes of variables yield:

$$\|W^\theta_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}\|_2^2 = |\theta|_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1} \int_{U_n\times U_n} |\varphi_1|^2(u_0u)\, |\varphi_2|^2\big(uu_0^{-1}\big)\, du\, du_0 = |\theta|_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}\|\varphi_1\|_2^2\,\|\varphi_2\|_2^2. \tag{13}$$

We then deduce the first claim by density of $\mathcal{D}(U_n)$ in $L^2(U_n)$.

Next, we use Lemma 3.6 to get that for any $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in L^2(U_n)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(U_n)$ and $u_0 \in U_n$:

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(W^\theta_{\varphi_1,\,\varphi_2})\varphi(u_0) = |\theta|_{\mathbf{k}}\, q^n \int_{U_n} \big(\mathrm{Id}\otimes\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n} W^\theta_{\varphi_1,\,\varphi_2}\big)\big(u^{1/2}u_0^{1/2}, \theta\phi(u^{1/2}u_0^{-1/2})\big)\,\varphi(u)\, du,$$

and we deduce from (5) that

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(W^\theta_{\varphi_1,\,\varphi_2})\varphi(u_0) = |\theta|_{\mathbf{k}} \int_{U_n} \big(\mathrm{Id}\otimes\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}} W^\theta_{\varphi_1,\,\varphi_2}\big)\big(u^{1/2}u_0^{1/2}, \theta\phi(u^{1/2}u_0^{-1/2})\big)\,\varphi(u)\, du.$$

The relation (11) then entails that

$$\big(\mathrm{Id}\otimes\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}} W^\theta_{\varphi_1,\,\varphi_2}\big)\big(u^{1/2}u_0^{1/2}, \theta\phi(u^{1/2}u_0^{-1/2})\big) = |\theta|_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}\varphi_2(u_0)\,\overline{\varphi_1}(u),$$

form which the result follows immediately. □

The next result is fundamental for us since it will allow to invert the quantization map $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta$. For the invertibility property to hold true, we need to restrict the parameter space to be the group of units $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}^\times$. As explained at the beginning of subsection 2.2, it implies that we loose the covariance property for the quotient group X_n. However, we will see in the next section that the X_n-covariance survives at the level of the composition law of symbols (the $\star$-product).

In what follows, $\mathcal{L}^2(L^2(U_n))$ denotes the Hilbert space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators on $L^2(U_n)$. More generally, we denote by $\mathcal{L}^p(L^2(U_n))$, $p \in [1,\infty)$, the p-th Schatten class of $L^2(U_n)$.

Proposition 3.9. *Let $\theta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}^\times$. Then the map $q^{-n/2}\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta : \mathcal{D}'(X_n) \to \mathcal{L}\big(\mathcal{D}(U_n), \mathcal{D}'(U_n)\big)$, restricts to a surjective isometry from $L^2(X_n)$ to $\mathcal{L}^2(L^2(U_n))$.*

Proof. We first show that, $q^{-n/2}\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta$ is an isometry and for that issue, we compute the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f)$, $f \in L^2(X_n)$, as the L^2-norm of its kernel $\big[\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f)\big]$. Using the conclusion of Lemma 3.6 together with the invariance of the Haar measure of U_n by dilations in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}^\times$ and inversion, with the substitution formulas (6) and with the Plancherel formula for Γ_n, we get since $\theta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}^\times$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{U_n^2} \big|\big[\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f)\big](u_0,u)\big|^2 \, dadu_0 &= q^{2n} \int_{U_n\times U_n} \big|\big(\mathrm{Id}\otimes \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n} f\big)\big(u^{1/2}u_0^{1/2}, \theta\phi(u^{1/2}u_0^{-1/2})\big)\big|^2 \, dudu_0 \\
&= q^{2n} \int_{U_n\times U_n} \big|\big(\mathrm{Id}\otimes \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n} f\big)\big(u^{1/2}, \theta\phi(u^{1/2}u_0^{-1})\big)\big|^2 \, dudu_0 \\
&= q^{2n} \int_{U_n\times U_n} \big|\big(\mathrm{Id}\otimes \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n} f\big)\big(u, \theta\phi(uu_0^{-1})\big)\big|^2 \, dudu_0 \\
&= q^{2n} \int_{U_n\times U_n} \big|\big(\mathrm{Id}\otimes \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n} f\big)\big(u, \theta\phi(u_0)\big)\big|^2 \, dudu_0 \\
&= q^{2n} \int_{U_n\times \varpi^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}} \big|\big(\mathrm{Id}\otimes \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n} f\big)\big(u, z_0\big)\big|^2 \, dudz_0 \\
&= q^{n} \sum_{[t]\in\Gamma_n} \int_{U_n} \big|f(u,[t])\big|^2 \, du = q^n \int_{X_n} \big|f([g])\big|^2 \, d[g].
\end{aligned}$$

Surjectivity follows from Lemma 3.8 since finite rank operators are dense in $\mathcal{L}^2(L^2(U_n))$. □

Remark 3.10. It is easy to see that if $\theta \in \mathbf{k} \setminus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}^\times$, then the quantization map $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta$ fails to be injective.

3.2. **The composition law of symbols.** We shall now give the formula for the composition law of symbols in the p-adic Fuchs calculus and prove the basic properties of this non-formal $\star$-product. The latter is simply defined by transporting the product of $\mathcal{L}^2(L^2(U_n))$ to $L^2(X_n)$ via the unitary operator $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta$:

Definition 3.11. *Let $\theta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}^\times$. We let $\star_\theta$ be the associative (continuous) product on $L^2(X_n)$ given by:*

$$f_1 \star_\theta f_2 := \mathbf{\Omega}_\theta^*\big(\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f_1)\,\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f_2)\big).$$

Since $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f)^* = \mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(\overline{f})$, we see that the complex conjugation is an involution of the Hilbert algebra $(L^2(X_n), \star_\theta)$. In order to give a concrete formula for $\star$-product, we need to compute the symbol map:

Lemma 3.12. *Let $\theta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}^\times$ and $A \in \mathcal{L}^1(L^2(U_n))$. Then we have:*

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta^*(A) = \Big[[g] \in X_n \mapsto \mathrm{Tr}\big(A\Omega_\theta([g])\big)\Big] \in L^2(X_n).$$

Proof. Note that since $\Omega_\theta([g])$ is bounded, the RHS of the formula we have to prove is well defined. Decomposing A in real and imaginary part, by linearity we may assume A to be selfadjoint. Let $\{\lambda_n\}_{\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ be the sequence of eigenvalues of A repeated according their multiplicity and let $\{\varphi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset L^2(U_n)$ be the associated orthonormal basis of eigenvectors. We then have for all $[g] \in X_n$:

$$\mathrm{Tr}\big(A\Omega_\theta([g])\big) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \lambda_n \langle \varphi_n, \Omega_\theta([g])\varphi_n\rangle = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \lambda_n\, W^\theta_{\varphi_n,\varphi_n}([g]).$$

Note that the sequence converges in $L^2(X_n)$. Indeed, we have by (13) since $|\theta|_{\mathbf{k}} = 1$:

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} |\lambda_n|\, \|W^\theta_{\varphi_n,\varphi_n}\|_2 = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} |\lambda_n|\, \|\varphi_n\|_2^2 = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} |\lambda_n|.$$

Therefore, since $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta : L^2(X_n) \to \mathcal{L}^2(L^2(U_n))$ is continuous, we deduce by Lemma 3.8:

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta\Big(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \lambda_n\, W^\theta_{\varphi_n,\varphi_n}\Big) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \lambda_n\, \mathbf{\Omega}_\theta\big(W^\theta_{\varphi_n,\varphi_n}\big) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \lambda_n\, |\varphi_n\rangle\langle\varphi_n| = A,$$

and the proof is complete. □

Proposition 3.13. *Let $\theta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}^\times$. For $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{D}(X_n)$, we have*

$$f_1 \star_\theta f_2([g]) = \int_{X_n\times X_n} K^3_\theta\big([g],[g_1],[g_2]\big)\, f_1([g_1])\, f_2([g_2])\, d[g_1]\, d[g_2],$$

where K^3_θ is the locally constant on X_n^3 given by:

$$K^3_\theta\big((u_1,[t_1]),(u_2,[t_2]),(a_3,[t_3])\big) := q^{2n}\, \Psi_\theta\big(\phi(u_1u_2^{-1})t_3 + \phi(u_2u_3^{-1})t_1 + \phi(u_3u_1^{-1})t_2\big).$$

Proof. Since the product of two Hilbert-Schmidt operators is trace-class, Lemma 3.12 entails that for $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{D}(X_n)$ we have:

$$f_1 \star_\theta f_2([g]) = \mathrm{Tr}\big(\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f_1)\, \mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f_2)\, \Omega_\theta([g])\big).$$

Let now $T_{[g]}$ be the kernel of the operator $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f_1)\, \mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f_2)\, \Omega_\theta([g])$. With the notations of Proposition 3.6, we have

$$T_{[g]}(u_1,u_4) = \int_{U_n\times U_n} \big[\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f_1)\big](u_1,u_2)\, \big[\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f_2)\big](u_2,u_3)\, \big[\Omega_\theta([g])\big](u_3,u_4)\, du_2 du_3.$$

By Lemma 3.1, we easily deduce that

$$\big[\Omega_\theta([g])\big](u_3,u_4) = \Psi_\theta\big(\phi(uu_3^{-1})t\big)\delta_{u^2u_3^{-1}}(u_4) = \Psi_\theta\big(\phi(u_4u^{-1})t\big)\delta_{u^2u_4^{-1}}(u_3),$$

and thus

$$T_{[g]}(u_1,u_4) = \int_{U_n} \big[\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f_1)\big](u_1,u_2)\, \big[\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f_2)\big](u_2,u^2u_4^{-1})\, \Psi_\theta\big(\phi(u_4u^{-1})t\big)\, du_2.$$

Now, Proposition 3.6 for $f \in \mathcal{D}(X_n)$, easily entails that $\big[\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f)\big] \in \mathcal{D}(U_n \times U_n)$ and hence the distribution $T_{[g]}$ is well defined on its diagonal. Using the formula (12), we get since $|\theta|_{\mathbf{k}} = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{[g]}(u_1,u_1) = q^{2n} \int_{U_n} &\big(\mathrm{Id}\otimes\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n}F\big)\Big(u_2^{1/2}u_1^{1/2}, \theta\phi\big(u_2^{1/2}u_1^{-1/2}\big)\Big) \\ &\times \big(\mathrm{Id}\otimes\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n}F\big)\Big(uu_1^{-1/2}u_2^{1/2}, \theta\phi\big(uu_1^{-1/2}u_2^{-1/2}\big)\Big)\, \Psi_\theta\big(\phi(u_1u^{-1})t\big)\, du_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, it is obvious to see that the map $\big[u_1 \mapsto T_{[g]}(u_1,u_1)\big]$ is continuous hence it belongs to $L^1(U_n)$. Therefore, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}\big(\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f_1)\,\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f_2)\,\Omega_\theta([g])\big) = \int_{U_n} T_{[g]}(u_1,u_1)\,du_1,$$

and thus, after some usual change of variables, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 \star_\theta f_2([g]) &= q^{2n}\int_{U_n\times U_n} \big(\mathrm{Id}\otimes\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n}f_1\big)\Big(u_2^{1/2}u_1^{1/2},\theta\phi\big(u_2^{1/2}u_1^{-1/2}\big)\Big) \\ &\qquad\qquad \times \big(\mathrm{Id}\otimes\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n}f_2\big)\Big(uu_1^{-1/2}u_2^{1/2},\theta\phi\big(uu_1^{-1/2}u_2^{-1/2}\big)\Big)\,\Psi_\theta\big(\phi(u_1u^{-1})t\big)\,du_1du_2 \\ &= q^{2n}\int_{U_n\times U_n} \big(\mathrm{Id}\otimes\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n}f_1\big)\big(u_1,\theta\phi\big(u_2u^{-1}\big)\big)\big(\mathrm{Id}\otimes\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n}f_2\big)\big(u_2,\theta\phi\big(uu_1^{-1}\big)\big)\,\Psi_\theta\big(\phi(u_1u_2^{-1})t\big)\,du_1du_2. \end{aligned}$$

Undoing the Fourier transforms, we arrive at the announced formula. □

By construction, the quantization map $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta$ intertwines the geometric action of G_n on $L^2(X_n)$ with the action of G_n on $\mathcal{L}^2(L^2(U_n))$ given by conjugation of the representation π_θ. This implies that the product $\star_\theta$ on $L^2(X_n)$ is covariant for the action of G_n or equivalently, that the three-point functions K^3_θ in invariant under the diagonal action of G_n (a property that can easily be checked directly). Since θ is now in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}^\times$, the representation $\widetilde{\pi}_\theta$ of the quotient group X_n is no longer defined. Hence, it is not automatic that the product $\star_\theta$ is covariant for the left action of X_n on $L^2(X_n)$ (a crucial property for deformation theory for actions of X_n on C^*-algebras [17]). In fact, the invariance of the function

$$G_n \ni (u,t) \mapsto \Psi_\theta\big(\phi(u)t\big),$$

under translations in $H_n = \{1\}\ltimes \varpi^{-n}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$ immediately implies that K^3_θ is also invariant under the diagonal left action of X_n. Hence, denoting by λ the left regular action and by ρ the right regular action of X_n on $L^2(X_n)$, we get:

Proposition 3.14. *Let $\theta\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}^\times$. The product $\star_\theta$ is covariant for the left action of X_n, namely*

$$\lambda_{[g]}\big(f_1\star_\theta f_2\big) = \lambda_{[g]}(f_1)\star_\theta \lambda_{[g]}(f_2),\quad \forall f_1,f_2\in L^2(X_n)\,,\ \forall [g]\in X_n.$$

In particular, when $f_1,f_2\in\mathcal{D}(X_n)$, we have

$$f_1\star_\theta f_2 = \int_{X_n\times X_n} K_\theta\big([g_1],[g_2]\big)\,\rho_{[g_1]}(f_1)\,\rho_{[g_2]}(f_2)\,d[g_1]\,d[g_2],$$

where

$$K_\theta\big([g_1],[g_2]\big) := K^3_\theta\big([e],[g_1],[g_2]\big) = q^{2n}\,\Psi_\theta\big(\phi(u_2)t_1-\phi(u_1)t_2\big).$$

Similarly to Lemma 3.4, to understand the next result we have to remember that for p-adic groups, Bruhat's notions of smooth compactly supported function and of Schwartz function are identical.

Proposition 3.15. *The product $\star_\theta$ is continuous from $\mathcal{D}(X_n)\times\mathcal{D}(X_n)$ to $\mathcal{D}(X_n)$.*

Proof. For $f_1,f_2\in\mathcal{D}(X_n)$, we have

$$f_1\star_\theta f_2(u,[t]) = q^{2n}\int_{X_n\times X_n}\Psi_\theta\big(\phi(uu_1^{-1})t_2+\phi(u_1u_2^{-1})t+\phi(u_2u^{-1})t_1\big)\,f_1([g_1])\,f_2([g_2])\,d[g_1]\,d[g_2].$$

Since Ψ_θ is locally constant, we deduce from the continuity of ϕ, from the fact that u_1,u_2 are units and from the fact that the sum over $[t_1]$ and $[t_2]$ is actually finite, that $f_1\star_\theta f_2$ is locally constant in

the variable $u \in U_n$. We need now to show that $f_1 \star_\theta f_2$ is finitely supported in the variable $[t] \in \Gamma_n$. For that, we perform the sums over $[t_1], [t_2] \in \Gamma_n$ to get

$$f_1 \star_\theta f_2(u,[t]) = q^{2n} \int_{U_n \times U_n} \Psi_\theta\big(\phi(u_1 u_2^{-1})t\big) \, \big(\mathrm{Id} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n} f_1\big)(u_1, \phi(u_2 u^{-1})) \, \big(\mathrm{Id} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n} f_2\big)(u_2, \phi(u u_1^{-1}))\, du_1\, du_2.$$

Using now the translation $u_1 \mapsto u_1 u_2$ and the second substitution formula (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 \star_\theta f_2(u,[t]) &= q^{2n} \int_{\varpi^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}} \Psi_\theta(u_1 t) \\ &\quad \times \Big(\int_{U_n} \big(\mathrm{Id} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n} f_1\big)\big(\phi^{-1}(u_1)u_2, \phi(u_2 u^{-1})\big) \, \big(\mathrm{Id} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n} f_2\big)\big(u_2, \phi(u u_2^{-1}\phi^{-1}(u_1)^{-1})\big)\, du_2 \Big)\, du_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $f_1 \star_\theta f_2(u,[t]) = q^{2n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(g_u)(\theta t)$ where

$$g_u(t) = \chi_{\varpi^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}}(t) \int_{U_n} \big(\mathrm{Id} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n} f_1\big)\big(\phi^{-1}(t)u_2, \phi(u_2 u^{-1})\big) \, \big(\mathrm{Id} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n} f_2\big)\big(u_2, \phi(u u_2^{-1}\phi^{-1}(t)^{-1})\big)\, du_2.$$

Since f_1 is locally constant in the variable $u \in U_n$, we deduce that $\mathrm{Id} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n} f_1$ is locally constant in its first variable. Similarly, f_2 is finitely supported in the variable $[t] \in \Gamma_n$ so that $\mathrm{Id} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n} f_2$ is locally constant in its second variable. From this we deduce that g_u is locally constant, so that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(g_u)$ is compactly supported. Hence, $f_1 \star_\theta f_2$ is finitely supported in the variable $[t] \in \Gamma_n$. Continuity follows from the obvious estimate

$$\|f_1 \star_\theta f_2\|_\infty \leq q^{2n} \, \mathrm{Vol}(\mathrm{Supp}(f_1)) \, \mathrm{Vol}(\mathrm{Supp}(f_2)) \, \|f_1\|_\infty \, \|f_2\|_\infty,$$

and from the fact that the invariance domain and the support of $f_1 \star_\theta f_2$ depend only on those of f_1, f_2 but not on f_1 and f_2 themselves. □

The next property is often named *strong traciality*:

Proposition 3.16. *For $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{D}(X_n)$, we have*

$$\int_{X_n} f_1 \star_\theta f_2([g])\, d[g] = \int_{X_n} f_1([g])\, f_2([g])\, d[g].$$

Proof. Observe first that the right hand side is well defined since, by Proposition 3.15, $f_1 \star_\theta f_2$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}(X_n) \subset L^1(X_n)$.

By unitarity of the p-adic Fuchs calculus (see Proposition 3.9), we have since $\mathcal{D}(X_n) \subset L^2(X_n)$:

$$\int_{X_n} f_1([g])\, f_2([g])\, d[g] = q^{-n} \, \mathrm{Tr}\big(\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(\overline{f}_1)^* \, \mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f_2)\big) = q^{-n} \, \mathrm{Tr}\big(\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f_1) \, \mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f_2)\big) = q^{-n} \, \mathrm{Tr}\big(\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f_1 \star_\theta f_2)\big).$$

From Lemma 3.6, we have the following formula for the on-diagonal kernel of the operator $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f_1 \star_\theta f_2)$:

$$\big[\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f_1 \star_\theta f_2)\big](u,u) = q^n \, \big(\mathrm{Id} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_n}(f_1 \star_\theta f_2)\big)(u,0) = q^n \sum_{[t] \in \Gamma_n} f_1 \star_\theta f_2(u,[t]).$$

Since $f_1 \star_\theta f_2 \in \mathcal{D}(X_n) \subset L^1(X_n)$, we deduce by Fubini that the map $u \mapsto \big[\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f_1 \star_\theta f_2)\big](u,u)$ belongs to $L^1(U_n)$ with

$$\int_{U_n} \big[\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(f_1 \star_\theta f_2)\big](u,u)\, du = q^n \int_{X_n} f_1 \star_\theta f_2([g])\, d[g].$$

We conclude using the fact that for a trace class operator whose distributional kernel on the diagonal is a L^1-function, the trace coincides with the integral of its kernel on the diagonal. □

4. A Calderón-Vaillancourt type Theorem

4.1. **The symbol space.** The aim of this subsection is to construct a Fréchet space of functions on X_n suitable to extend the Calderón-Vaillancourt Theorem to the p-adic Fuchs calculus.

Introduce the following continuous function on $\mathbf{k}$:

$$\mu_0(x) := \max(1, |\varpi^n x|_{\mathbf{k}}). \tag{14}$$

It is known (see for instance [20]) that $\mu_0^{-1-\varepsilon} \in L^1(\mathbf{k})$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$, that μ_0 satisfies a Peetre type inequality:

$$\mu_0(x+y) \le \mu_0(x)\,\mu_0(x), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbf{k}, \tag{15}$$

and that μ_0 is invariant under dilations in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}^\times$ and by translations in $\varpi^{-n}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$. The following properties of the distribution $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, are of upmost importance.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, the distribution $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big) \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{k})$ is real valued, has support contained in U_n and is invariant under multiplicative inversion.*

Proof. That $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)$ is real, is obvious since μ_0 is real and even. That the support of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)$ is contained in U_n comes from the invariance of μ_0 by translations in $\varpi^{-n}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$.

From now on, we view $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)$ as an element of $\mathcal{D}'(U_n)$. (Recall that we denote by $T[\varphi]$ the evaluation of a distribution T on a test function φ.) The group inversion $S\varphi(a) := \varphi(a^{-1})$ obviously defines an homeomorphism of $\mathcal{D}(U_n)$ and of $\mathcal{D}'(U_n)$. Hence, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(U_n)$ (that we also view as an element of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{k})$ supported on U_n), we have

$$S\,\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)[\varphi] = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)[S\varphi] = \big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)[\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}S\varphi] = \int_{\mathbf{k}} \overline{\Psi}(t)\,\mu_0^s(t)\,\big(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\,S\varphi\big)(t)\,dt,$$

and by dominated convergence we get

$$S\,\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)[\varphi] = \lim_{\ell\to+\infty} \int_{\varpi^{-\ell}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}} \overline{\Psi}(t)\,\mu_0^s(t)\,\big(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\,S\varphi\big)(t)\,dt.$$

Hence we are left with integrals over compact sets, so that we may use Fubini to write

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\varpi^{-\ell}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}} \overline{\Psi}(t)\,\mu_0^s(t)\,\big(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\,S\varphi\big)(t)\,dt &= \int_{\varpi^{-\ell}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}\times U_n} \overline{\Psi}(t)\,\mu_0^s(t)\,\Psi(ut)\,\varphi(u^{-1})\,dtdu \\
&= \int_{\varpi^{-\ell}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}\times U_n} \mu_0^s(t)\,\Psi\big((u^{-1}-1)t\big)\,\varphi(u)\,dtdu \\
&= \int_{\varpi^{-\ell}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}\times U_n} \mu_0^s(t)\,\Psi\big(-u^{-1}(u-1)t\big)\,\varphi(u)\,dtdu.
\end{aligned}$$

Since μ_0 is also invariant under dilations in the group of units, the change of variable $t \mapsto -ut$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\varpi^{-\ell}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}} \overline{\Psi}(t)\,\mu_0^s(t)\,\big(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}S\varphi\big)(t)\,dt &= \int_{\varpi^{-\ell}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}\times U_n} \mu_0^s(t)\,\Psi\big((u-1)t\big)\,\varphi(u)\,dtdu \\
&= \int_{\varpi^{-\ell}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}} \overline{\Psi}(t)\,\mu_0^s(t)\,\big(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\varphi\big)(t)\,dt,
\end{aligned}$$

which from Fubini and dominated convergence used backward yields the result. □

Remark 4.2. In what follows, we will mostly view $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)$ as an element of $\mathcal{D}'(U_n)$.

Let $*_{U_n}$ be the convolution product on U_n. Since U_n is a compact group, $*_{U_n}$ extends to an associative bilinear continuous mapping from $\mathcal{D}'(U_n) \times \mathcal{D}'(U_n) \to \mathcal{D}'(U_n)$ (see [9, section 6]). The following result shows that the multiplicative convolution product of the distributions $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big) \in \mathcal{D}'(U_n)$ behaves (almost) like the additive convolution product!

Lemma 4.3. *For $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_1}\big) *_{U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_2}\big) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_1+s_2}\big).$$

Proof. Assume first $s_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s_2 < -1/2$. In this case, $\mu_0^{s_2} \in L^2(\mathbf{k})$ and, since $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_2})$ is supported in U_n, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_2}) \in L^1(\mathbf{k}) \cap L^2(\mathbf{k})$. Take $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(U_n)$. Then, we have by definition of the convolution product of a pair of distributions:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_1}\big) *_{U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_2}\big)[\varphi] = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_1}\big) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_2}\big)[\Delta\varphi],$$

where $\Delta : \mathcal{D}(U_n) \to \mathcal{D}(U_n \times U_n)$ is the coproduct, that is $\Delta\varphi(u_1, u_2) = \varphi(u_1 u_2)$. If we view $\Delta\varphi$ as an element of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{k} \times U_n)$ supported in $U_n \times U_n$, we get

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_1}\big) *_{U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_2}\big)[\varphi] = \big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_1}\big) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_2}\big)\big[\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}(\Delta\varphi)\big],$$

where the expression in the right hand side above is the evaluation of a distribution in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{k} \times U_n)$ against a test function in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{k} \times U_n)$. Since $\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_1}\big) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_2}\big)$ is a continuous function on $\mathbf{k} \times U_n$, we therefore have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_1}\big) *_{U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_2}\big)[\varphi] &= \int_{\mathbf{k}\times U_n} \overline{\Psi}(t_1)\, \mu_0^{s_1}(t_1)\, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_2}\big)(u_2)\, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}(\Delta\varphi)(t_1, u_2)\, dt_1\, du_2 \\
&= \int_{\mathbf{k}\times U_n} \overline{\Psi}(t_1)\, \mu_0^{s_1}(t_1)\, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_2}\big)(u_2) \Big(\int_{U_n} \Psi(t_1 u_1)\, \varphi(u_1 u_2)\, du_1 \Big) dt_1\, du_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Using dominated convergence, Fubini, the change of variable $u_1 \mapsto u_2^{-1} u_1$, the invariance of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_2}\big)$ under group inversion (see Lemma 4.1) and the fact that φ can be seen as an element of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{k})$ supported on U_n, the above expression is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\ell\to+\infty} \int_{\varpi^{-\ell}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}\times U_n} \overline{\Psi}(t_1)\, \mu_0^{s_1}(t_1)\, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_2}\big)(u_2) \Big(\int_{U_n} \Psi(t_1 u_1)\, \varphi(u_1 u_2)\, du_1 \Big) dt_1\, du_2 \\
&= \lim_{\ell\to+\infty} \int_{U_n\times\varpi^{-\ell}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}\times U_n} \overline{\Psi}(t_1)\, \mu_0^{s_1}(t_1)\, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_2}\big)(u_2)\, \Psi(t_1 u_1)\, \varphi(u_1 u_2)\, du_1\, dt_1\, du_2 \\
&= \lim_{\ell\to+\infty} \int_{U_n\times\varpi^{-\ell}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}\times U_n} \overline{\Psi}(t_1)\, \mu_0^{s_1}(t_1)\, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_2}\big)(u_2)\, \Psi(t_1 u_2^{-1} u_1)\, \varphi(u_1)\, du_1\, dt_1\, du_2 \\
&= \lim_{\ell\to+\infty} \int_{U_n\times\varpi^{-\ell}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}\times U_n} \overline{\Psi}(t_1)\, \mu_0^{s_1}(t_1)\, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_2}\big)(u_2)\, \Psi(t_1 u_2 u_1)\, \varphi(u_1)\, du_1\, dt_1\, du_2 \\
&= \lim_{\ell\to+\infty} \int_{\varpi^{-\ell}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}} \overline{\Psi}(t_1)\, \mu_0^{s_1}(t_1) \Big(\int_{U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_2}\big)(u_2)\, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(\varphi)(t_1 u_2)\, du_2 \Big)\, dt_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Let D_x, $x \in \mathbf{k}^\times$, be the dilation operator given by $D_x f(t) = f(x^{-1}t)$. Since $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_2}\big)$ is real valued and supported in U_n (see Lemma 4.1) we have for $t_1 \in \mathbf{k}^\times$:

$$\int_{U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_2}\big)(u_2)\, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\varphi\big)(t_1 u_2)\, du_2 = |t_1|_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_2}\big)(t_2)\, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(D_{t_1}\varphi\big)(t_2)\, dt_2$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= |t_1|_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}\big\langle \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_2}\big), \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(D_{t_1}\varphi\big)\big\rangle = |t_1|_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}\big\langle \overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_2}, D_{t_1}\varphi\big\rangle \\
&= \big\langle D_{t_1^{-1}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_2}\big), \varphi\big\rangle = \int_{U_n} \Psi(t_1u_2)\,\mu_0^{s_2}(t_1u_2)\,\varphi(u_2)\,du_2 \\
&= \mu_0^{s_2}(t_1)\int_{U_n} \Psi(t_1u_2)\,\varphi(u_2)\,du_2 = \mu_0^{s_2}(t_1)\,\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\varphi\big)(t_1),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used Plancherel for $\mathbf{k}$ in the third equality and the invariance of μ_0 under dilations in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\times}$ in the sixth. Hence, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_1}\big) *_{U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_2}\big)[\varphi] &= \lim_{\ell\to+\infty}\int_{\varpi^{-\ell}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}} \overline{\Psi}(t_1)\,\mu_0^{s_1}(t_1)\,\mu_0^{s_2}(t_1)\,\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\varphi\big)(t_1)\,dt_1 \\
&= \int_{\mathbf{k}} \overline{\Psi}(t_1)\,\mu_0^{s_1+s_2}(t_1)\,\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\varphi\big)(t_1)\,dt_1 = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_1+s_2}\big)[\varphi].
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume now that $s_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $-1/2 \leq s_2 < 0$ and chose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough such that $(n+1)s_2 < -1/2$ and $(n+1)s_2 + s_1 < -1/2$. From what precedes we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_1}\big) *_{U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_2}\big) &= \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_1}\big) *_{U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{(n+1)s_2}\big) *_{U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{-ns_2}\big) \\
&= \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_1+(n+1)s_2}\big) *_{U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{-ns_2}\big) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_1+s_2}\big).
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume last $s_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $s_2 > 0$ and chose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough such that $-(n-1)s_2 < -1/2$ and $-(n-1)s_2 + s_1 < -1/2$. From what precedes we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_1}\big) *_{U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_2}\big) &= \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_1}\big) *_{U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{-(n-1)s_2}\big) *_{U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{ns_2}\big) \\
&= \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_1-(n-1)s_2}\big) *_{U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{ns_2}\big) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{s_1+s_2}\big),
\end{aligned}
$$

and the proof is complete. □

Let $\rho : X_n \to \mathcal{U}(L^2(X_n))$, be the right regular representation. We are especially interested in the following family of right convolution operators:

$$
J^s := \int_{U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)(u)\,\rho_{(u,[0])}\,du, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

The next result justifies our choice of notation for the operators J^s:

Proposition 4.4. *Let $s, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. The operator J^s acts continuously on $\mathcal{D}(X_n)$ and, moreover, we have $J^0 = \mathrm{Id}$ and $J^{s_1}\,J^{s_2} = J^{s_1+s_2}$.*

Proof. For the first part, we use [9, Proposition 7] which shows that the operator of convolution by a compactly supported distribution on X_n is continuous on $\mathcal{D}(X_n)$. The second part follows immediately from Lemma 4.3. □

Since $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)$ is real valued and invariant under the group inversion in U_n, one easily deduce that J^s is formally self-adjoint. In fact, is not difficult to see that on the domain $\mathcal{D}(X_n)$, J^s is self-adjoint. Moreover, Proposition 4.4 shows that $J^s = J^{\frac{s}{2}}J^{\frac{s}{2}}$ on $\mathcal{D}(X_n)$ and therefore J^s is non-negative.

By transposition, the operators J^s, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, act by homeomorphism on $\mathcal{D}'(X_n)$. Now, for $x \in \mathbf{k}$ fixed, we let $\widehat{\Psi}_x \in L^\infty(X_n)$ be defined by $\widehat{\Psi}_x(u,[t]) := \Psi_x(u) = \Psi(xu)$. As a distribution on X_n, $\widehat{\Psi}_x$ is an eigenfunction of J^s for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$:

Lemma 4.5. *For every $x \in \mathbf{k}$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$J^s \widehat{\Psi}_x = \mu_0^s(x)\, \widehat{\Psi}_x.$$

Proof. We may assume without lost of generality that $s < -1/2$. Indeed, once this case has been proven, we then have for $s > 1/2$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X_n)$:

$$(J^s \widehat{\Psi}_x)[\varphi] = \widehat{\Psi}_x[J^s\varphi] = \widehat{\Psi}_x[J^{-s}J^{2s}\varphi] = (J^{-s}\widehat{\Psi}_x)[J^{2s}\varphi] = \mu_0^{-s}(x)\widehat{\Psi}_x[J^{2s}\varphi] = \mu_0^{-s}(x)(J^{2s}\widehat{\Psi}_x)[\varphi].$$

Hence $J^s\widehat{\Psi}_x = \mu_0^{-s}(x)J^{2s}\widehat{\Psi}_x$ and applying J^{-s} on both sides gives $\widehat{\Psi}_x = \mu_0^{-s}(x)J^s\widehat{\Psi}_x$. Thus, if the result holds for $s < -1/2$ then it also holds for $s > 1/2$. Moreover, if the result holds for $|s| > 1/2$ then it also holds for $0 < |s| \leq 1/2$. Indeed, for $0 < s \leq 1/2$ chose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so large that $(n-1)s > 1/2$. Then we have $J^s\widehat{\Psi}_x = J^{ns}J^{(-n+1)s}\widehat{\Psi}_x = \mu_0(x)^{(-n+1)s}J^{ns}\widehat{\Psi}_x = \mu_0(x)^{(-n+1)s}\mu_0(x)^{ns}\widehat{\Psi}_x$. Passing from $0 < s \leq 1/2$ to $-1/2 \leq s < 0$ as before, we are done.

So we just need to consider $s < -1/2$. In this case, $\mu_0^s \in L^2(\mathbf{k})$ and thus $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big) \in L^2(\mathbf{k}) \cap L^1(\mathbf{k})$. (Since $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)$ is supported on U_n.) We then have

$$\begin{aligned} J^s\widehat{\Psi}_x(u,[t]) &= \int_{U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)(u_0)\widehat{\Psi}_x\big((u,[t])(u_0,[0])\big)\, du_0 \\ &= \int_{U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)(u_0)\widehat{\Psi}_x\big(uu_0,[u_0^{-1}t]\big)\, du_0 = \int_{U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)(u_0)\Psi(xuu_0)\, du_0. \end{aligned}$$

Viewing $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)$ as an L^2-function on $\mathbf{k}$ supported on U_n, we get

$$J^s\widehat{\Psi}_x(u,[t]) = \int_{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)(t_0)\Psi(xut_0)\, dt_0 = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)(-xu) = \Psi(xu)\mu_0^s(-xu) = \mu_0^s(x)\widehat{\Psi}_x(u,[t]),$$

where the last equality follows by invariance of μ_0 by dilations in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}^\times$. □

We now introduce the following subspace of distributions on X_n:

$$\mathcal{B}(X_n) := \Big\{F \in \mathcal{D}'(X_n) : \forall j \in \mathbb{N},\, J^jF \in L^\infty(X_n)\Big\}.$$

We endow $\mathcal{B}(X_n)$ with the topology associated with the family of seminorms:

$$F \mapsto \|J^jF\|_\infty, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{16}$$

The main properties of the space $\mathcal{B}(X_n)$ are summarized in the next proposition. There, we denote by $C_{ru}(X_n)$ the C^*-algebra of bounded and right-uniformly continuous complex valued functions on X_n (our convention for the right-uniform structure is the one which yields strong continuity for the right regular action) and by $C_{ru}^\infty(X_n)$ the subspace of $C_{ru}(X_n)$ on which the right action is regular (or smooth) in the sense of Bruhat. The proof of the following result is very close to [16, Lemma 3.2] but for the sake of completeness we give here the detailed arguments.

Proposition 4.6. *We have $C_{ru}^\infty(X_n) \subset \mathcal{B}(X_n) \subset C_{ru}(X_n)$ with dense inclusion.*

Proof. That $\mathcal{B}(X_n)$ is Fréchet follows from standard arguments. By [22, (32.45) (b), p. 283], it follows that the space of left-uniformly continuous and bounded functions on X_n is exactly $L^1(X_n) *_{X_n} L^\infty(X_n)$, where $*_{X_n}$ denotes the convolution product on X_n. (Be aware that for uniform structures, we use the convention opposite to those of [22].) Hence, with S antipode of $L^\infty(X_n)$ (i.e. $SF([g]) = F([g]^{-1})$), we need to show that $S\mathcal{B}(X_n) \subset L^1(X_n) *_{X_n} L^\infty(X_n)$. Define $\Phi := \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{-2}\big) \otimes \delta_{[0]} \in L^1(X_n)$. Then

we have for any $F \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$, and with λ and ρ the left and right regular representations (possibly in their integrated version), we get since $J^{-2} = \rho(\Phi)$:

$$SF = SJ^{-2}J^2F = S\rho(\Phi)J^2F = \lambda(\Phi)SJ^2F = \Phi *_{X_n} SJ^2F.$$

Since $J^2F \in \mathcal{B}(X_n) \subset L^\infty(X_n)$, we deduce that $SJ^2F \in L^\infty(X_n)$ which entails that $\mathcal{B}(X_n) \subset C_{ru}(X_n)$. The inclusion $C^\infty_{ru}(X_n) \subset \mathcal{B}(X_n)$ follows from the Dixmier-Malliavin theorem, proven for arbitrary locally compact groups by Meyer in [26, Theorem 4.16]. Indeed, the latter states that $C^\infty_{ru}(X_n)$ coincides with its Garding space, that is the space of finite sums of elements of the form

$$\rho(f)F := \int_{X_n} f([g])\, \rho_{[g]}(F)\, d[g]\ , \qquad f \in \mathcal{D}(X_n)\ ,\ F \in C_{ru}(X_n).$$

But since (formally)

$$J^j\rho(f) = \int_{U_n\times X_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^j\big)(u)\, f([g])\, \rho_{(u,[0])[g]}\, dud[g] = \int_{U_n\times X_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^j\big)(u)\, f((u^{-1},[0])[g])\, \rho_{[g]}\, dud[g],$$

we easily deduce that $J^j\rho(f) = \rho(SJ^jSf)$. Hence, we get

$$\|J^j\rho(f)F\|_\infty = \|\rho(SJ^jSf)F\|_\infty \le \|SJ^jSf\|_1\|F\|_\infty < \infty,$$

and therefore $C^\infty_{ru}(X_n) \subset \mathcal{B}(X_n)$. Finally, density of $C^\infty_{ru}(X_n)$ in $C_{ru}(X_n)$ is a consequence of the strong continuity of the right regular action on the C^*-algebra of right-uniformly continuous and bounded functions on X_n. □

The arguments of the next result are conceptually similar to those of [16, Corollary 3.6] but technically different.

Proposition 4.7. *The space $\mathcal{B}(X_n)$ is a Fréchet algebra under the point-wise product. More precisely, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and all F_1, $F_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$, we have:*

$$\big\|J^j(F_1\,F_2)\big\|_\infty \le q^{-2n}\|\mu_0^{-2}\|_1^2\, \big\|J^{j+2}F_1\big\|_\infty\, \big\|J^{j+2}F_2\big\|_\infty. \tag{17}$$

Proof. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$ and $(u_0,[t_0]) \in X_n$. Since the function $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(\overline{\Psi_1}\,\mu_0^{-j-2}) \in L^1(U_n)$ is invariant under group inversion (by Lemma 4.1), we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
J^{-j-2}F_k(u_0,[t_0]) &= \int_{U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{-j-2}\big)(u)\, F_k(u_0u,[u^{-1}t_0])\, du \\
&= \int_{U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^{-j-2}\big)(u^{-1}u_0)\, F_k(u,[u^{-1}u_0t_0])du \\
&= \int_{U_n\times\mathbf{k}} \Psi(u^{-1}u_0t)\,\overline{\Psi}(t)\,\mu_0^{-j-2}(t)\, F_k(u,[u^{-1}u_0t_0])\, du\, dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Writing $F_1 = J^{-j-2}J^{j+2}F_1$, $F_2 = J^{-j-2}J^{j+2}F_2$, it then follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1\,F_2(u_0,[t_0]) = \int_{(U_n\times\mathbf{k})^2} &\Psi\big(u_0(u_1^{-1}t_1+u_2^{-1}t_2)\big)\,\overline{\Psi}(t_1)\,\overline{\Psi}(t_2)\,\mu_0^{-j-2}(t_1)\,\mu_0^{-j-2}(t_2) \\
&\times J^{j+2}F_1(u_1,[u_1^{-1}u_0t_0])\, J^{j+2}F_2(u_2,[u_2^{-1}u_0t_0])\, du_1dt_1du_2dt_2.
\end{aligned}$$

To compute $J^j(F_1F_2)$ we let J^j acts on that (absolutely convergent in $L^\infty(X_n)$) integral representation of the product F_1F_2, and we are left to compute

$$J^j\Big[(u_0,[t_0])\mapsto \Psi\big(u_0(u_1^{-1}t_1+u_2^{-1}t_2)\big)\,J^{j+2}F_1(u_1,[u_1^{-1}u_0t_0])\,J^{j+2}F_2(u_2,[u_2^{-1}u_0t_0])\Big].$$

Now observe that an element $F\in L^\infty(X_n)$ of the form $F(u_0,[t_0])=h([uu_0t_0])$, with $h\in\ell^\infty(\Gamma_n)$ and $u\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}^\times$, is invariant under right translations in $\{(u,[0]),\ u\in U_n\}\subset X_n$. Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned}J^j\big(F_1\,F_2\big)(u_0,[t_0])=\int_{(U_n\times\mathbf{k})^2} &J^j\big(\widehat{\Psi}_{u_1^{-1}t_1+u_2^{-1}t_2}\big)(u_0,[t_0])\,\overline{\Psi}(t_1)\,\overline{\Psi}(t_2)\,\mu_0^{-j-2}(t_1)\,\mu_0^{-j-2}(t_2)\\ &\times J^{j+2}F_1(u_1,[u_1^{-1}u_0t_0])\,J^{j+2}F_2(u_2,[u_2^{-1}u_0t_0])\,du_1dt_1du_2dt_2,\end{aligned}$$

which by Lemma 4.5 entails

$$\begin{aligned}J^j\big(F_1\,F_2\big)(u_0,[t_0])=\int_{(U_n\times\mathbf{k})^2} &\mu_0^j\big(u_0(u_1^{-1}t_1+u_2^{-1}t_2)\big)\,\Psi\big(u_1^{-1}t_1+u_2^{-1}t_2\big)\,\overline{\Psi}(t_1)\,\overline{\Psi}(t_2)\,\mu_0^{-j-2}(t_1)\\ &\times\mu_0^{-j-2}(t_2)\,J^{j+2}F_1(u_1,[u_1^{-1}u_0t_0]_0)\,J^{j+2}F_2(u_2,[u_2^{-1}u_0t_0])\,du_1dt_1du_2dt_2.\end{aligned}$$

This formula then yields the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}&\big|J^j\big(F_1\,F_2\big)\big|(u_0,[t_0])\\ &\quad\le\|J^{j+2}F_1\|_\infty\|J^{j+2}F_2\|_\infty\int_{(U_n\times\mathbf{k})^2}\quad\mu_0^j\big(u_0(u_1^{-1}t_1+u_2^{-1}t_2)\big)\,\mu_0^{-j-2}(t_1)\,\mu_0^{-j-2}(t_2)du_1dt_1du_2dt_2.\end{aligned}$$

Using the Peetre inequality (15) and the invariance of μ_0 by dilations in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}^\times$, we get

$$\mu_0^j\big(u_0(u_1^{-1}t_1+u_2^{-1}t_2)\big)\le\mu_0^j(u_0u_1^{-1}t_1)\,\mu_0^j(u_0u_2^{-1}t_2)=\mu_0^j(t_1)\,\mu_0^j(t_2).$$

With our choice of normalization for Haar measures, we have $\mathrm{Vol}(U_n)=q^{-n}$ and thus

$$\|J^j(F_1\,F_2)\|_\infty\ \le\ q^{-2n}\,||\mu_0^{-2}||_1^2\,\|J^{j+2}F_1\|_\infty\|J^{j+2}F_2\|_\infty,$$

which is the inequality we had to prove. □

Even if we will not need this space here (we will use it in [17] only), we conclude this paragraph by constructing a more suitable (for us) version of the Schwartz space on the group X_n. The idea is to control the regularity by using the operator J and the decay by using the multiplication operator:

$$I\varphi(u,[t]):=\mu_0(t)\,\varphi(u,[t]).$$

(Recall that μ_0 is invariant under translations in $\varpi^{-n}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$ so that it defines a function on Γ_n.) With domain $\mathcal{D}(X_n)\subset L^2(X_n)$, the operator I is unbounded, essentially selfadjoint and non-negative. Since moreover $\mu_0(t)=\mu_0(at)$ for all $a\in U_n$, one sees that I commutes with J. We then let

$$\mathcal{S}(X_n):=\Big\{f\in\mathcal{B}(X_n):\forall j\in\mathbb{N},\,I^jf\in\mathcal{B}(X_n)\Big\},$$

and we endow $\mathcal{S}(X_n)$ with the topology associated with the family of seminorms:

$$f\mapsto\|J^kI^jf\|_\infty,\quad\forall j,k\in\mathbb{N}. \tag{18}$$

Of course, we can replace the L^∞-norm in the seminorms (18) by any other L^p-norm while keeping the same topology. From standard methods, one can prove that, endowed with this topology, $\mathcal{S}(X_n)$

is Fréchet and nuclear. Since moreover $I^j(fF) = (I^j f)F$, for $f \in \mathcal{S}(X_n)$ and $F \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$ we also get from (17) that

$$\|J^k I^j(fF)\|_\infty \leq q^{-2n}\|\mu_0^{-2}\|_1^2\, \|J^{k+2}I^j f\|_\infty\, \|J^{k+2}F\|_\infty.$$

Hence $\mathcal{S}(X_n)$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{B}(X_n)$ (for the point-wise product).

4.2. **The main estimate.** The goal of this final part is to provide an analogue of the Calderón-Vaillancourt Theorem for the p-adic Fuchs calculus. Namely, we will prove that the quantization map $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta : \mathcal{D}'(X_n) \to \mathcal{L}\big(\mathcal{D}(U_n), \mathcal{D}'(U_n)\big)$, restricts to $\mathcal{B}(X_n)$ as a bounded operator on $L^2(U_n)$. The method we use relies on coherent states and Wigner functions, a method which has been discovered by Unterberger in the eighties [34] in the context of the Weyl calculus. To this aim, let us consider the following specific Wigner functions:

$$W_g^\theta := W_{\chi_{U_n},\, \pi_\theta(g)\chi_{U_n}} \in L^2(X_n), \quad g \in G_n, \tag{19}$$

where χ_{U_n} is the characteristic function of U_n. From (10) and (7) we explicitly get with $g_1 = (u_1, t_1) \in G_n$ and $[g_2] = (u_2, [t_2]) \in X_n$:

$$W_{g_1}^\theta([g_2]) = \int_{U_n} \Psi_\theta\big(\phi(u_2u_0^{-1})t_2\big)\, \Psi_\theta\big(u_0u_2^{-2}u_1t_1\big)\, du_0 = \int_{U_n} \Psi_\theta\big(u_0u_2^{-1}u_1t_1 - \phi(u_0)t_2\big)\, du_0.$$

Since $\chi_{U_n} \in \mathcal{D}(U_n)$, we may use Proposition 3.4 to get that in fact $W_g^\theta \in \mathcal{D}(X_n)$. Hence, we can acts on W_g^θ by the operator J^s:

Lemma 4.8. *Let $\theta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}^\times$, $s < -1/2$, $u_1, u_2 \in U_n$ and $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbf{k}$. Then, for $g_1 = (u_1, t_1) \in G_n$ and $[g_2] = (u_2, [t_2]) \in X_n$, we have*

$$J^s W_{g_1}^\theta([g_2]) = \int_{U_n} \mu_0^s\big(u_0u_2^{-1}u_1t_1 - \phi(u_0)t_2\big)\, \Psi_\theta\big(u_0u_2^{-1}u_1t_1 - \phi(u_0)t_2\big)\, du_0.$$

Proof. Note that since $s < -1/2$, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big) \in L^2(\mathbf{k}) \cap L^1(\mathbf{k})$. By definition, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
J^s W_{g_1}^\theta([g_2]) &= \int_{U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)(u_3)\, W_{g_1}^\theta((u_2,[t_2]),(u_3,[0]))\, du_3 \\
&= \int_{U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)(u_3)\, W_{g_1}^\theta(u_2u_3,[u_3^{-1}t_2])\, du_3 \\
&= \int_{U_n\times U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)(u_3)\, \Psi_\theta\big(u_3^{-1}(u_0u_2^{-1}u_1t_1 - \phi(u_0)t_2)\big)\, du_0\, du_3 \\
&= \int_{U_n\times U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)(u_3)\, \Psi_\theta\big(u_3(u_0u_2^{-1}u_1t_1 - \phi(u_0)t_2)\big)\, du_0\, du_3, \qquad (20)
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the invariance of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)$ under group inversion (see Lemma 4.1). Now, if we view $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)$ as an L^2-function on $\mathbf{k}$ supported on U_n, we get:

$$\int_{U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)(u_3)\, \Psi_\theta\big(u_3(u_0u_2^{-1}u_1t_1 - \phi(u_0)t_2)\big)\, du_3 = \int_{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)(t_3)\, \Psi_\theta\big(t_3(u_0u_2^{-1}u_1t_1 - \phi(u_0)t_2)\big)\, dt_3$$
$$= \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)\big(-\theta(u_0u_2^{-1}u_1t_1 - \phi(u_0)t_2)\big) = \Psi_\theta\big(u_0u_2^{-1}u_1t_1 - \phi(u_0)t_2\big)\, \mu_0^s\big(u_0u_2^{-1}u_1t_1 - \phi(u_0)t_2\big),$$

by invariance of μ_0 by dilations in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}^\times$. This concludes the proof. □

Lemma 4.9. *Let $\theta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\times}$, $s < -1/2$, $g_1 = (u_1, t_1) \in G_n$ and $u_2 \in U_n$. Then the map $\Gamma_n \to \mathbb{C}$, $[t_2] \mapsto J^s W_{g_1}^{\theta}(u_2, [t_2])$ is supported in the finite set $\varpi^{\min(-n, \mathrm{val}(t_1))}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}/\varpi^{-n}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$.*

Proof. Using the substitution formula (6), we deduce from (20):

$$\begin{aligned} J^s W_{g_1}^{\theta}([g_2]) &= \int_{\varpi^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}} \times U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)(u_3)\, \Psi_\theta\big(u_3(\phi^{-1}(u_0)u_2^{-1}u_1t_1 - u_0t_2)\big)\, du_0\, du_3 \\ &= \int_{U_n} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\overline{\Psi}\mu_0^s\big)(u_3)\, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\chi_{\varpi^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}} \Psi_{\theta u_3 u_2^{-1} u_1 t_1} \circ \phi^{-1}\big)(u_3 t_2)\, du_3. \end{aligned}$$

Observe first that $\Psi_{\theta u_3 u_2^{-1} u_1 t_1} \circ \phi^{-1}$ is constant on the cosets of $\varpi^{-\mathrm{val}(t_1)}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$. Indeed, for $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $t \in \mathbf{k}$, we have since ϕ is an isometry:

$$\begin{aligned} \big|u_3u_2^{-1}u_1t_1\phi^{-1}\big(t + \varpi^{-\mathrm{val}(t_1)}x\big) - u_3u_2^{-1}u_1t_1\phi^{-1}(t)\big|_{\mathbf{k}} &= |t_1|_{\mathbf{k}}\, \big|\phi^{-1}\big(t + \varpi^{-\mathrm{val}(t_1)}x\big) - \phi^{-1}(t)\big|_{\mathbf{k}} \\ &= |t_1|_{\mathbf{k}}\, |\varpi^{-\mathrm{val}(t_1)}|_{\mathbf{k}}\, |x|_{\mathbf{k}} = |x|_{\mathbf{k}} \le 1, \end{aligned}$$

which prove this first claim since Ψ_θ is constant in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$. Hence, $\chi_{\varpi^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}} \Psi_{\theta u_3 u_2^{-1} u_1 t_1} \circ \phi^{-1}$ is invariant under translations in $\varpi^{\max(n, -\mathrm{val}(t_1))}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$ which implies that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\chi_{\varpi^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}} \Psi_{\theta u_3 u_2^{-1} u_1 t_1} \circ \phi^{-1}\big)$ is supported on $\varpi^{-\max(n, -\mathrm{val}(t_1))}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$ and, since u_3 is a unit, so is the map $t_2 \mapsto \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\chi_{\varpi^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}} \Psi_{\theta u_3 u_2^{-1} u_1 t_1} \circ \phi^{-1}\big)(u_3 t_2)$. □

With the help of the previous Lemma we can prove a crucial property of the Wigner functions W_g^{θ}:

Proposition 4.10. *Let $\theta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\times}$ and $s < -2$. Then,*

$$\int_{G_n \times X_n} \big|J^s W_{g_1}^{\theta}([g_2])\big|\, dg_1 d[g_2] \le q^{-2n}\big(1 + q^{-n}\, \|\mu_0^{s+1}\|_1\big) < \infty.$$

Proof. Viewing $[g_2] \mapsto J^s W_{g_1}^{\theta}([g_2])$ as a function on G_n constant on the cosets of $H_n = \{1\} \times \varpi^{-n}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}} \subset G_n$, we first write

$$\int_{G_n \times X_n} \big|J^s W_{g_1}^{\theta}([g_2])\big|\, dg_1 d[g_2] = q^{-n} \int_{G_n \times G_n} \big|J^s W_{g_1}^{\theta}([g_2])\big|\, dg_1 dg_2.$$

Next, we split the integration domain as the disjoint union of $V_1 := \{(g_1, g_2) \in G_n \times G_n \ :\ |t_2|_{\mathbf{k}} \le |t_1|_{\mathbf{k}}\}$ with $V_2 := \{(g_1, g_2) \in G_n \times G_n \ :\ |t_2|_{\mathbf{k}} > |t_1|_{\mathbf{k}}\}$ and we denote by I_1 and I_2 the corresponding integrals.

First, by Lemma 4.8, we get

$$I_1 = q^{-n} \int_{V_1} \Big| \int_{U_n} \mu_0^s\big(u_0u_2^{-1}u_1t_1 - \phi(u_0)t_2\big)\, \Psi_\theta\big(u_0u_2^{-1}u_1t_1 - \phi(u_0)t_2\big)\, du_0 \Big|\, du_1 du_2 dt_1 dt_2.$$

Observe next that when $(g_1, g_2) \in V_1$ we have $|u_0u_2^{-1}u_1t_1|_{\mathbf{k}} = |t_1|_{\mathbf{k}} \ge |t_2|_{\mathbf{k}} > |\phi(u_0)t_2|_{\mathbf{k}}$ since $|\phi(u_0)|_{\mathbf{k}} \le q^{-n} < 1$. The case of equality in the ultrametric triangle inequality and the invariance of μ_0 under dilations in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$ therefore give:

$$\mu_0^s\big(u_0u_2^{-1}u_1t_1 - \phi(u_0)t_2\big) = \mu_0^s\big(u_0u_2^{-1}u_1t_1\big) = \mu_0^s(t_1).$$

Hence, since $|t|_{\mathbf{k}} \le q^n \mu_0(t)$,

$$I_1 = q^{-n} \int_{V_1} \Big| \int_{U_n} \mu_0^s(t_1)\, \Psi_\theta\big(u_0u_2^{-1}u_1t_1 - \phi(u_0)t_2\big)\, du_0 \Big|\, du_1 du_2 dt_1 dt_2$$

$$\leq q^{-4n}\int_{\mathbf{k}}\mu_0^s(t_1)\Big(\int_{\varpi^{\mathrm{val}(t_1)}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}}dt_2\Big)dt_1 = q^{-4n}\int_{\mathbf{k}}\mu_0^s(t_1)\,|t_1|_{\mathbf{k}}\,dt_1 \leq q^{-3n}\,\|\mu_0^{s+1}\|_1,$$

which is finite since $s<-2$.

Next, if $(g_1,g_2)\in V_2$, we have $|t_1|_{\mathbf{k}}<|t_2|_{\mathbf{k}}$. But by Lemma 4.9 we also have $|t_2|_{\mathbf{k}}\leq\max(q^n,|t_1|_{\mathbf{k}})$ when $J^sW^\theta_{g_1}([g_2])$ is possibly nonzero. Hence $|t_2|_{\mathbf{k}}\leq q^n$ and thus $|t_1|_{\mathbf{k}}\leq q^n$ too and we deduce that the domain of integration of the variables t_1 and t_2 reduce to $\varpi^{-n}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$ when $J^sW^\theta_{g_1}([g_2])$ is possibly nonzero. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= q^{-n}\int_{V_2}\Big|\int_{U_n}\mu_0^s\big(u_0u_2^{-1}u_1t_1-\phi(u_0)t_2\big)\,\Psi_\theta\big(u_0u_2^{-1}u_1t_1-\phi(u_0)t_2\big)\,du_0\Big|\,du_1du_2dt_1dt_2\\
&\leq q^{-n}\int_{U_n\times U_n\times U_n\times\varpi^{-n}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}\times\varpi^{-n}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}}\mu_0^s\big(u_0u_2^{-1}u_1t_1-\phi(u_0)t_2\big)\,du_0du_1du_2dt_1dt_2\\
&\leq q^{-n}\mathrm{Vol}(U_n)^3\mathrm{Vol}(\varpi^{-n}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}})^2 = q^{-2n},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used $\mu_0\geq 1$ and $s<0$. □

Corollary 4.11. *Let $\theta\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}^\times$ and $s<-2$. Then for every $F\in\mathcal{B}(X_n)$, we have:*

$$\sup_{g_1\in G_n}\int_{G_n}\big|\big\langle\pi_\theta(g_1)\chi_{U_n},\,\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(F)\pi_\theta(g_2)\chi_{U_n}\big\rangle\big|\,dg_2\leq q^{-n}\big(1+q^{-n}\,\|\mu_0^{s+1}\|_1\big)\,\|J^{-s}F\|_\infty<\infty.$$

Proof. Since $\pi_\theta(g)\chi_{U_n}\in\mathcal{D}(U_n)$ for all $g\in G_n$, the function $(g_1,g_2)\mapsto\big\langle\pi_\theta(g_1)\chi_{U_n},\,\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(F)\pi_\theta(g_2)\chi_{U_n}\big\rangle$ is well defined and by Proposition 3.5 we have

$$\big\langle\pi_\theta(g_1)\chi_{U_n},\,\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(F)\pi_\theta(g_2)\chi_{U_n}\big\rangle = q^n\int_{X_n}F([g])\,W^\theta_{\pi_\theta(g_1)\chi_{U_n},\,\pi_\theta(g_2)\chi_{U_n}}([g])\,d[g].$$

Using G_n-covariance of our pseudo-differential calculus we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
W^\theta_{\pi_\theta(g_1)\chi_{U_n},\,\pi_\theta(g_2)\chi_{U_n}}([g]) &= \big\langle\pi_\theta(g_1)\chi_{U_n},\Omega_\theta([g])\pi_\theta(g_2)\chi_{U_n}\big\rangle = \big\langle\chi_{U_n},\pi_\theta(g_1^{-1})\Omega_\theta([g])\pi_\theta(g_2)\chi_{U_n}\big\rangle\\
&= \big\langle\chi_{U_n},\Omega_\theta([g_1^{-1}g])\pi_\theta(g_1^{-1}g_2)\chi_{U_n}\big\rangle = W^\theta_{g_1^{-1}g_2}([g_1^{-1}g]).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\big\langle\pi_\theta(g_1)\chi_{U_n},\,\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(F)\pi_\theta(g_2)\chi_{U_n}\big\rangle &= q^n\int_{X_n}F([g])\,W^\theta_{g_1^{-1}g_2}([g_1^{-1}g])\,d[g]\\
&= q^n\int_{X_n}\big(\lambda_{[g_1]^{-1}}F\big)([g])\,W^\theta_{g_1^{-1}g_2}([g])\,d[g].
\end{aligned}$$

Take then $s<-2$. Since J^{-s} commutes with left translations on the group X_n, we therefore have

$$\big\langle\pi_\theta(g_1)\chi_{U_n},\,\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(F)\pi_\theta(g_2)\chi_{U_n}\big\rangle = q^n\int_{X_n}\big(\lambda_{[g_1]^{-1}}J^{-s}F\big)([g])\,\big(J^sW^\theta_{g_1^{-1}g_2}\big)([g])\,d[g].$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{g_1\in G_n}\int_{G_n}\big|\big\langle\pi_\theta(g_1)\chi_{U_n},\,\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(F)\pi_\theta(g_2)\chi_{U_n}\big\rangle\big|\,dg_2\\
&\qquad= q^n\sup_{g_1\in G_n}\int_{G_n}\Big|\int_{X_n}\big(\lambda_{[g_1]^{-1}}J^{-s}F\big)([g])\,\big(J^sW^\theta_{g_1^{-1}g_2}\big)([g])\,d[g]\Big|\,dg_2
\end{aligned}$$

$$= q^n \sup_{g_1\in G_n} \int_{G_n} \Big| \int_{X_n} \big(\lambda_{[g_1]^{-1}} J^{-s} F\big)([g]) \, \big(J^s W^\theta_{g_2}\big)([g]) \, d[g] \Big| \, dg_2$$

$$\leq q^n \sup_{g_1\in G_n} \|\lambda_{[g_1]^{-1}} J^{-s} F\|_\infty \int_{X_n\times G_n} \big|\big(J^s W^\theta_{g_2}\big)([g])\big| \, d[g] dg_2$$

$$= q^n \|J^{-s} F\|_\infty \int_{X_n\times G_n} \big|\big(J^s W^\theta_{g_2}\big)([g])\big| \, d[g] dg_2,$$

and the claim follows by Proposition 4.10. □

We are now ready to state our version of the Calderón-Vaillancourt inequality for the p-adic Fuchs calculus. This is an immediate application of the Schur test Lemma in the context of square integrable irreducible unitary representations, together with the fundamental inequality given in Corollary 4.11. Indeed, for $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}(U_n) \subset L^2(U_n)$, using twice the resolution of the identity given in Corollary 2.10 for the mother wavelet χ_{U_n}, we have:

$$\big\langle \psi, \mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(F)\varphi\big\rangle = \|\chi_{U_n}\|_2^{-4} \int_{G_n\times G_n} \langle \psi, \pi_\theta(g_1)\chi_{U_n}\rangle \langle \pi_\theta(g_1)\chi_{U_n}, \, \mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(F)\pi_\theta(g_2)\chi_{U_n}\big\rangle \langle \pi_\theta(g_2)\chi_{U_n}, \psi\rangle \, dg_1 dg_2.$$

Using then the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Corollary 2.10 backward, we get

$$\big|\big\langle \psi, \mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(F)\varphi\big\rangle\big| \leq \|\chi_{U_n}\|_2^{-2} \|\varphi\|_2 \|\psi\|_2 \Big(\sup_{g_1} \int_{G_n\times G_n} \big|\langle \pi_\theta(g_1)\chi_{U_n}, \, \mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(F)\pi_\theta(g_2)\chi_{U_n}\big\rangle\big| dg_2 \Big)^{1/2}$$
$$\times \Big(\sup_{g_2} \int_{G_n\times G_n} \big|\langle \pi_\theta(g_1)\chi_{U_n}, \, \mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(F)\pi_\theta(g_2)\chi_{U_n}\big\rangle\big| dg_1 \Big)^{1/2}.$$

Since moreover $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(F)^* = \mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(\overline{F})$, we deduce by Corollary 4.11:

$$\big|\big\langle \psi, \mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(F)\varphi\big\rangle\big| \leq \|\varphi\|_2 \|\psi\|_2 \big(q^n + \|\mu_0^{s+1}\|_1\big) \, .$$

Hence, we get the following statement :

Theorem 4.12. *The quantization map $\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta : \mathcal{D}'(X_n) \to \mathcal{L}\big(\mathcal{D}(U_n), \mathcal{D}'(U_n)\big)$ restricts to a continuous mapping from the symbol space $\mathcal{B}(X_n)$ to the algebra of bounded operators $\mathcal{B}(L^2(U_n))$. More precisely, for each $s < -2$ and $F \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$, we have the operator norm estimate:*

$$\|\mathbf{\Omega}_\theta(F)\| \leq \big(q^n + \|\mu_0^{s+1}\|_1\big) \, \|J^{-s} F\|_\infty.$$

References

[1] T. Ali and M. Englis, "Wigner transform and pseudodifferential operators on symmetric spaces of non-compact type", J. Phys. A **44** (2011).

[2] P. Aniello, "Square-integrable projective representations and square-integrable representations modulo a relatively central subgroup", Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys., **3** (2006), 233–267.

[3] J. Arazy and H. Upmeier, "Weyl calculus for complex and real symmetric domains", in Harmonic analysis on complex homogeneous domains and Lie groups (Rome, 2001). Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl. 13 (2002), 165–181.

[4] J. Arazy and H. Upmeier, "A one-parameter calculus for symmetric domains", Math. Nachr. **280** (2007), 939–961.

[5] A. Bechata, "Calcul pseudodifférentiel p-adique", Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse **13** (2004), 179–240.

[6] I. Beltita, D. Beltita and Mihai Pascu, "Boundedness for pseudo-differential calculus on nilpotent Lie groups", in Geometric methods in physics, 8797, Trends Math., Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel, 2013.

[7] P. Bieliavsky and V. Gayral, "Deformation quantization for actions of Kählerian groups", Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **236** (2015).

[8] P. Bieliavsky, A. de Goursac and G. Tuynman, "Deformation quantization for Heisenberg supergroup", J. Funct. Anal. **263** (2012), 549–603.
[9] F. Bruhat, "Distributions sur un groupe localement compact et applications à l'étude des représentations des groupes p-adiques", Bull. Soc. Math. France **89** (1961), 43–75.
[10] B. Cahen, "Stratonovich-Weyl correspondence for the real diamond group", Riv. Math. Univ. Parma (N.S.) **4** (2013), 197–213.
[11] B. Cahen, "Stratonovich-Weyl correspondence for the Jacobi group", Commun. Math. **22** (2014), 31–48.
[12] M. Duflo and C. Moore, "On the regular representation of a nonunimodular locally compact group," J. Funct. Anal. **21** (1976), 209–243.
[13] H. Figueroa, J. Gracia-Bondia and J. Varilly, "Moyal quantization with compact symmetry groups and noncommutative harmonic analysis" J. Math. Phys. **31** (1990), 2664–2671.
[14] V. Fischer and M. Ruzhansky, "Quantization on nilpotent Lie groups", Progress in Mathematics, 314. Birkhäuser/Springer, 2016.
[15] V. Gayral, J. Gracia-Bondia and J. Varilly, "Fourier analysis on the affine group, quantization and noncompact Connes geometries", J. Noncommut. Geom. **2** (2008), 215–261.
[16] V. Gayral and D. Jondreville, "Deformation Quantization for actions of $\mathbb{Q}_p^d$", J. Funct. Anal. **268** (2015), 3357–3403.
[17] V. Gayral and D. Jondreville, "Deformation Quantization for actions of the affine group of a local field", work in progress.
[18] J. M. Gracia-Bonda, "Generalized Moyal quantization on homogeneous symplectic spaces", in deformation theory and quantum groups with applications to mathematical physics (Amherst, MA, 1990), Contemp. Math. **134**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 1992, 93–114.
[19] K. Gröchenig and T. Strohmer, "Pseudodifferential operators on locally compact Abelian groups and Sjöstrand's symbol class", J. Reine Angew. Math. **613** (2007), 121–146.
[20] S. Haran, "Quantization and symbolic calculus over the p-adic number", Annales de l'Institut Fourier **43** (1993), 997–1053.
[21] E. Hewitt and K. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis. Vol. I: Structure of topological groups, Integration theory, Group representations*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 115 Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, (1963).
[22] E. Hewitt and K. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis. Vol. II: Structure and analysis for compact groups. Analysis on locally compact Abelian groups*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 152 Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, (1970).
[23] N. F. Kuzennyi, "Isomorphism of semidirect products", Ukrainian Mathematical Journal **26** (1974), 543–547.
[24] G. W. Mackey, "Induced Representations of Locally Compact Groups I", Annals of Mathematics, Second Series, **55** (1952), 101–139.
[25] D. Manchon, "Weyl symbolic calculus on any Lie group", Acta Appl. Math. **30** (1993), 159–186.
[26] R. Meyer, "Smooth group representations on bornological vector spaces", Bull. Sci. math. **128** (2004), 127–166.
[27] N. V. Pedersen, "Matrix coefficients and a Weyl correspondence for nilpotent Lie groups", Invent. Math. **118** (1994), 1–36.
[28] M. Rieffel, "Deformation quantization for actions of $\mathbb{R}^d$", Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **106** (1993).
[29] M. Ruzhansky and V. Turunen, "Global quantization of pseudo-differential operators on compact Lie groups, SU(2), 3-sphere, and homogeneous spaces", Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **11** (2013), 2439–2496.
[30] P. Sally, "An Introduction to p-adic Fields, Harmonic Analysis and the Representation Theory of SL_2", Lett. Math. Phys. **46** (1998), 1–47.
[31] P. Sally and M. Taibleson, "Special functions on locally compact fields", Acta Math., **116** (1966), 279–309.
[32] J-P. Serre, *A course in Arithmetic*, Springer, (1973).
[33] W. H. Schikhof, "Ultrametric calculus", Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics (1984).
[34] A. Unterberger, "Oscillateur harmonique et opérateurs pseudo-différentiels", Ann. Inst. Fourier **29** (1979), 201–221.
[35] A. Unterberger, "The calculus of pseudo-differential operators of Fuchs type", Comm. Partial Differential Equations **9** (1984), 1179–1236.
[36] A. Unterberger and J. Unterberger, "La série discrète de $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ et les opérateurs pseudodifférentiels sur une demi-droite", Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **17** (1984), 83–116.
[37] J. Varilly and J. Gracia-Bondia, "The Moyal representation for spin", Ann. Physics **190** (1989), 107–148.